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Parts $I$ and $I I$ of this report contain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 27A of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934). Such statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties. Further, forward-looking statements are intended to speak only as of the date on which they are made. Forward-looking statements are statements that include projections, expectations or beliefs about future events or results or otherwise are not statements of historical fact. Such statements are often but not always characterized by qualifying words such as "expect," "believe," "estimate," "plan" and "project" and their derivatives, and include but are not limited to statements about expectations for the company's future operations or success, sales, gross profit margins, operating income, SG\&A or other expenses, and earnings, as well as any statements regarding future economic or industry trends or future developments. Factors that could influence the matters discussed in such statements include the level of housing starts and sales of existing homes, consumer confidence, trends in disposable income, and general economic conditions. Decreases in these economic indicators could have a negative effect on the company's business and prospects. Likewise, increases in interest rates, particularly home mortgage rates, and increases in consumer debt or the general rate of inflation, could affect the company adversely. In addition, changes in consumer preferences for various categories of furniture coverings, as well as changes in costs to produce such products (including import duties and quotas or other import costs) can have significant effect on demand for the company's products. Also, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar versus other currencies can affect the company's financial results because a significant portion of the company's operations are located outside the United States. Further, economic and political instability in international areas could affect the company's operations or sources of goods in those areas, as well as demand for the company's products in international markets. Finally, unanticipated delays or costs in executing restructuring actions could cause the cumulative effect of restructuring actions to fail to meet the objectives set forth by management. Further information about these factors, as well as other factors that could affect the company's future operations or financial results and the matters discussed in forward-looking statements are included in the "Risk Factors" section of this report in Item 1A.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Culp, Inc., which we sometimes refer to as the company, manufactures and markets mattress fabrics (also known as mattress ticking) used for covering mattresses and box springs and upholstery fabrics primarily for use in production of upholstered furniture (residential and commercial). The company's executive offices are located in High Point, NC. The company was organized as a North Carolina corporation in 1972 and made its initial public offering in 1983. Since 1997, the company has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange and traded under the symbol "CFI."

Management believes that culp is the largest producer of mattress fabrics in North America, as measured by total sales, and one of the three largest marketers of upholstery fabrics for furniture in North America, again measured by total sales. The company's fabrics are used primarily in the production of bedding products and residential and commercial upholstered furniture, including mattresses, box springs, mattress sets, sofas, recliners, chairs, loveseats, sectionals, sofa-beds, and office seating. Culp primarily markets fabrics that have broad appeal in the "good" and "better" priced categories of furniture and bedding.

The company has two operating segments - mattress fabrics and upholstery fabrics. The mattress fabric business markets an array of fabrics used by bedding manufacturers. The upholstery fabrics segment markets products in all categories of fabric used as coverings for furniture. This segment also has a small U.S. yarn production operation, which produces special purpose yarns (as opposed to commodity yarns more readily available from outside suppliers) for the company's internal use.

Total net sales in fiscal 2006 were $\$ 261.1$ million. The mattress fabrics segment had sales of $\$ 93.7$ million ( $36 \%$ of total net sales) while the upholstery fabrics segment had sales of $\$ 167.4$ million ( $64 \%$ of total net sales).

Culp markets a variety of fabrics in different categories, including fabrics produced at our manufacturing facilities and fabrics produced by other suppliers. The company had eight active manufacturing plants as of the end of fiscal 2006, which are located in North Carolina, South Carolina, Quebec, Canada, and Shanghai, China. We also source fabrics from other manufacturers, located primarily in China, Turkey and in the U.S., with almost all of those fabrics being produced specifically for the company and created by Culp designers. In recent years, the portion of total company sales represented by fabrics produced outside of the company's U.S. and Canadian facilities has increased significantly, while sales of goods produced in our U.S. manufacturing plants have decreased. For fiscal 2006, sales of fabrics produced outside of Culp's North American manufacturing plants were $\$ 86.8$ million, or 33\% of net sales, compared to $\$ 59.3$ million, or $21 \%$ of sales, in fiscal 2005 . This trend is especially strong in the upholstery fabrics segment, where almost half of our sales now consist of fabrics produced in Asia.

Culp has experienced dramatic changes in its business during the past six years. Significant demand has arisen for certain fabrics not produced in our U.S. plants, and the company has moved rapidly to develop sources for the products being demanded by our customers. Six years ago, we were a much more vertically integrated manufacturer of fabrics, especially in upholstery fabrics, with large amounts of capital committed to U.S.-based manufacturing fixed assets. Today, the company is a more flexible fabric producer and marketer, with a smaller fixed asset base, but also with significantly lower overall sales.

A significant and growing portion of our upholstery fabric products are now produced by other manufacturers, but in most cases, the company continues to control components of the production process, such as design, finishing, quality control and distribution. In upholstery fabrics, microdenier suedes and a variety of other fabrics are now sourced in China, where the company has established a sourcing, finishing and distribution operation. In addition, we have moved to outsource certain components of the production process, such as yarn production and finishing of decorative fabrics in the upholstery fabrics segment. In mattress ticking, knitted fabrics represent a small, but growing portion of our sales. These fabrics, along with a portion of our damask product line, are sourced from outside providers.

Along with these shifts in our business, the company has dramatically reduced the size and scope of its U.S. upholstery fabrics manufacturing operations, where almost half of our products are now sourced in China. In the mattress ticking business, a shift by bedding makers to one-sided mattresses, along with product shifts to knitted ticking for top panels of mattresses and common border fabrics, which is the fabric on the side of the mattress and box spring have affected demand for certain categories of our products. We have made dramatic changes in our operating assets, product mix and business model to address the challenges facing the company.

Changes continue to take place, and the company continues to adapt to these changes. The trends that have caused the need for modifications in the way we do business have not abated. The company's shift away from a vertically integrated U.S.-based upholstery fabrics manufacturing operation and toward a focus upon producing, sourcing and marketing fabrics from a variety of sources is expected to continue. For further discussion about recent changes in the company's operations, see the discussion below regarding individual business segments.

Our fiscal year is the 52 or 53 week period ending on the Sunday closest to April 30. The fiscal years ended April 30, 2006, and May 1, 2005, included 52 weeks versus 53 weeks for fiscal 2004.

Culp maintains an Internet website at www.culpinc.com. The company will make this annual report and its other annual reports on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form $8-K$ and amendments to these reports, available free of charge on its Internet site as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information included on the company's website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report.

The company's two operating segments are mattress fabrics and upholstery fabrics. The following table sets forth certain information for each of the company's segments.

|  | Sales by Fiscal Year (\$ in Millions) and Percentage of Total Company Sales |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEGMENT | Fiscal 2006 |  | Fiscal 2005 |  | Fiscal 2004 |  |
| Mattress Fabrics | \$93.7 | (36\%) | \$105.4 | (37\%) | \$106.3 | (33\%) |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-U.S.-Produced Sales | \$59.2 | (23\%) | \$31.2 | (11\%) | \$15.7 | (5\%) |
| U.S.-Produced Sales | \$108.2 | (41\%) | \$149.8 | (52\%) | \$196.1 | (62\%) |
| Total Upholstery | \$167.4 | (64\%) | \$181.1 | (63\%) | \$211.8 | (67\%) |
| Total Company | \$261.1 | (100\%) | \$286.5 | (100\%) | \$318.1 | (100\%) |

Additional financial information about the company's operating segments can be found in footnote 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Mattress Fabrics. The mattress fabrics segment, manufactures and markets mattress ticking to bedding manufacturers. These fabrics encompass woven jacquard ticking, knitted ticking and printed ticking. Culp Home Fashions, as this business is known in the trade, manufacturing facilities are located in Stokesdale, NC, and St. Jerome, Quebec, Canada. Both of these plants manufacture jacquard (damask) ticking, while the St. Jerome plant also produces yarn for internal consumption and the Stokesdale plant also produces printed ticking. The Stokesdale plant also has finished goods distribution and the division offices. Knitted ticking is sourced from a manufacturer who works closely with the company to produce fabrics according to Culp's proprietary design specifications and quality standards.

During fiscal 2005 and 2006, the company took significant steps to further enhance its competitive position in this segment by consolidating all of our mattress fabrics manufacturing into the Stokesdale and St. Jerome facilities. The company had capital expenditures in fiscal 2006 and 2005 totaling $\$ 10.0$ million, of which $\$ 7.0$ million pertained to a capital project involving the relocation of ticking looms from an upholstery fabric plant to the existing facilities in the U.S. and Canada along with the purchase of new weaving machines that are faster and more efficient than the equipment they replaced. Additionally, the company had a $\$ 1.2$ million capital project that significantly enhances its finishing capabilities in this segment.

Upholstery Fabrics. The upholstery fabrics segment markets a variety of fabrics for residential and commercial furniture, including jacquard woven fabrics, velvets, microdenier suedes, woven dobbies, knitted fabrics, and piece-dyed woven products. Historically, the majority of upholstery fabrics have been produced in the company's U.S. manufacturing plants, but a growing percentage are now made in non-U.S. locations, including the company's facilities in China. This segment operates fabric manufacturing facilities in Graham, NC, Anderson, SC, and Shanghai, China. The segment also includes a specialty yarn manufacturing operation in Lincolnton, NC, which produces specialty yarns for the company's internal consumption.

During fiscal 2006, we revamped our U.S. upholstery fabric product strategy by offering a more select group of attractively priced, high volume decorative and velvet fabrics packaged by color and coordination, eliminating many smaller volume fabric patterns. Along with this shift in product strategy, we continued
to take aggressive steps to reduce our U.S. manufacturing costs, capacity,
and selling, general, and administrative expenses. The company consolidated two velvet manufacturing operations, consolidated its finished goods distribution and design centers, and closed two of its three yarn manufacturing plants. In addition, we outsourced our decorative fabrics finishing operation. The company has consolidated its sales, design, and customer service activities for two divisions that were formerly operated separately within the upholstery fabrics segment and related costs. The result of these actions has been a significant reduction in capacity and related costs for U.S. production of upholstery fabrics. These reductions have accompanied a shift in customer demand away from U.S.-produced upholstery fabrics and a resulting decrease in sales of U.S.-produced fabrics.

These developments are a continuation of a longer-term trend that has affected the company and the upholstery fabric business for the past six years. At the end of fiscal 2000, the company had fourteen manufacturing plants in the U.S. for upholstery fabric manufacturing, with total sales in the segment of $\$ 382$ million. The book value of these manufacturing assets in the segment was $\$ 93$ million at the end of fiscal 2000 and $\$ 52$ million at the end of fiscal 2004. By comparison, there are now three U.S. manufacturing plants currently operating in the upholstery fabrics segment, with a total book value of $\$ 10$ million. Total segment sales for fiscal 2006 were $\$ 167$ million, and of that amount $\$ 108$ million represents sales of fabrics produced in the United States. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, non-U.S. produced fabrics accounted for $44 \%$ of total upholstery segment sales. For additional discussion of restructuring activities in the upholstery fabrics segment, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

As industry demand for U.S.-produced fabrics has been declining, there have been significant increases in demand for and sales of the company's upholstery fabrics produced overseas. Our China operations now include three manufacturing plants near Shanghai, China. In 2003, the company began a strategy to link our strong customer relationships, design expertise and production technology with low cost fabric manufacturers in China in order to deliver enhanced value to our customers throughout the world. The operations in China began with a finishing and inspection operation, where goods woven in China by selected outside suppliers are treated with finishing processes and subjected to our quality control measures before being distributed to customers. In subsequent years, a variety of finished goods (with no further finishing needed) began to be sourced through our China operations. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, the operation was expanded to include a facility where upholstery fabrics are cut and sewn into "kits" that are made to the specifications of furniture manufacturing customers in the U.S. Such "cut and sewn" operations have become an important method for furniture producers to reduce production costs by moving a larger percentage of the labor component of furniture manufacturing to lower cost environments, and the company's participation in this type of operation is an important element of our ability to grow market share. Finally, the China operation now includes a yarn sourcing initiative that sources and processes yarn for use by our U.S. manufacturing plants. Other expansions of the company's operations in China are being planned or analyzed, as this region continues to grow as a center of activity in the furniture and upholstery fabric manufacturing industries.

As the company's activities and opportunities in China continue to expand,
our strategy has not changed. The company entered China with the view that we would take advantage of the variety of products and lower cost environment available in China, while still maintaining control of the "value-added" processes such as design, finishing, quality control, and logistics. This strategic approach has allowed the company to limit its investment of capital in fixed assets and to lower the costs of our products significantly, while continuing to leverage the company's design and finishing expertise, industry knowledge, and important relationships. In this way, the company maintains its ability to provide furniture manufacturers with products from every category of fabric used to cover upholstered furniture, and to meet continually changing consumer preferences.

Culp markets products primarily to manufacturers that operate in three principal markets. The mattress fabrics segment supplies the bedding industry. This market includes mattress sets (mattresses, box springs, and foundations). The upholstery fabrics segment supplies the residential and commercial furniture industries. The residential furniture market includes upholstered furniture sold to consumers for household use. Products include sofas, sleep sofas, chairs, recliners and sectionals. The commercial furniture and fabrics market includes upholstered office seating and modular office systems sold primarily for use in offices and other institutional settings, and commercial textile wall covering. The principal markets into which the company sells products are described below.

Overview of Bedding Industry
The bedding industry has experienced growth in sales in recent years, primarily due to a strong market, as well as higher average selling prices of mattresses. According to the International Sleep Products Association (ISPA), a trade association, the U.S. wholesale bedding industry accounted for an estimated \$6.4 billion in sales in 2005, a $10.6 \%$ increase over 2004. The industry is comprised of over 700 manufacturers, but the largest four manufacturers accounted for more than $53 \%$ of the total wholesale shipments in 2005. The bedding industry has averaged approximately $6 \%$ annual growth over the past twenty years, with only one year experiencing a decline in sales volume. It has proven to be a stable and mature industry, and has grown despite several economic downturns over the past twenty years. This stability and resistance to economic downturns is due to replacement purchases, which account for an estimated $70 \%$ of bedding industry sales.

Unlike the residential furniture industry, which has faced intense competition from imports, the bedding industry has faced limited competition from imports. The primary reasons for this fact include: 1) the short lead times demanded by mattress retailers, 2) the limited inventories carried by retailers, 3) the customized nature of each retailer's product lines, 4) high shipping cost, and 5) the relatively low direct labor content in mattresses and 6) strong brand recognition.

The company believes that several important demographic factors are helping to support the bedding industry. In particular, the growth of the aging and affluent segment of the population has a significant impact on the bedding industry. The increasing size of homes and growth in the number of vacation and second homes also play major roles in the demand for bedding in the United States. These trends have not only driven total unit increases, they have also been a factor in the size and average selling prices of mattresses being sold in the United States. According to ISPA, while wholesale sales of bedding increased $10.6 \%$ in 2005, the number of units sold increased only $4.0 \%$.

While a majority of bedding sales is traditional innerspring bedding, several specialty bedding producers have recorded significant sales gains in recent years. The two largest specialty bedding producers, which produce mattresses that do not use inner spring construction, together grew wholesale shipments by $27.4 \%$ in 2005. The specialty bedding segment has provided new growth opportunities for bedding producers and those companies that supply components, including fabric, to them.

Key issues facing the bedding industry include:
o The bedding industry over the last three years has been in the transition to selling "one-sided" mattresses versus "two-sided" mattresses, which had been the industry norm for many years. All of the four largest bedding manufacturers and most others have converted their product lines to the sale of one-sided mattresses. Since a one-sided mattress uses approximately $30 \%$ less mattress ticking, overall industry demand for mattress ticking has been significantly reduced by this transition within the bedding industry.
o Mattress manufacturers are using common SKU's and less expensive fabric for the borders, which is the ticking that goes around the side of the mattresses and box springs. Virtually all of these border fabrics are woven damask ticking of the type manufactured by the company, and this trend has caused significant pricing pressures in this category of mattress fabric.
o The bedding industry is focusing on producing flame-resistant material that is designed to meet the State of California's new open flame mattress flammability standard, which became effective January 1, 2006. A national standard for flame resistance in bedding has now been established, and it is currently scheduled to become effective July 1, 2007. The company is continuing to monitor these standards and the various methods that mattress manufacturers and ticking makers can use to meet flammability standards.
o There is increasing popularity of knitted mattress tickings, as opposed to woven and printed tickings. Knitted ticking was initially used primarily on premium mattresses, but these products are now being placed increasingly on mattresses at mid-range retail price points. Knitted ticking is typically used on the top panel of a mattress, while woven ticking remains the predominant fabric on the borders or sides of mattress sets.

## Overview of Residential Furniture Industry

The residential furniture industry is a mature industry, with long-term growth rates generally close to the overall growth rate of the U.S. economy. According to the American Home Furnishings Alliance (AHFA), a trade association, the U.S. residential furniture industry has grown over the last 20 years from $\$ 12.7$ billion in residential furniture wholesale shipments in 1985 to $\$ 26.9$ billion in 2005. During the last five years, however, the residential furniture industry has been impacted by slow economic conditions, and more substantially by a structural shift to offshore sourcing, primarily from China, which has led to deflation in retail furniture prices.

Key issues facing the residential furniture industry include:
o The sourcing of components and fully assembled furniture from overseas continues to play a major role in the residential furniture industry, with sales of imported furniture growing at a much faster rate than the overall industry. According to Furniture/Today, an industry trade publication, imports of residential furniture into the U.S. grew 11\% to almost $\$ 22$ billion in 2005. By far, the largest source for these imports continues to be China, which accounted for approximately $50 \%$ of total U.S. imports in 2005, up from approximately $47 \%$ in 2004. In past years, a large majority of furniture imports from China were wooden "casegoods," but there has been significant recent growth in imports of upholstered furniture components, including upholstery fabric and "cut-and-sewn kits" for furniture covers. This trend has been especially strong for leather furniture, and it now extends to other coverings, including microdenier suedes and the more traditional types of fabrics manufactured by the company. Fabrics entering the U.S. from China and other low labor cost countries are resulting in increased price competition in the upholstery fabric and upholstered furniture markets. In addition, competition in the U.S. domestic market has increased further following the January 1, 2005 expiration of the quotas imposed under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing on textile and apparel products coming into the U.S.

Leather upholstered furniture has been gaining market share over the last ten years. This trend has increased over the last four to five years in large part because selling prices of leather furniture have been declining significantly over this time period. Very recently, however, we believe the rate of increase appears to be leveling off, and this trend may be near its peak.
o The residential furniture industry has been consolidating at the manufacturing level for several years. The result of this trend is fewer, but larger, customers for upholstery fabric manufacturers.
o In recent years, several of the nation's larger furniture manufacturers have opened retail outlets of their own. As top retailers shift floor space to private label imports, manufacturers are focused on distributing their own products. In addition, furniture marketing by "lifestyle" retailers has increased, which has increased the number of retail outlets for residential furniture but has also increased the reliance on private brands or private labels.
o The company believes that demographic trends support the outlook for continued long-term growth in the U.S. residential furniture. In particular, "baby boomers" (people born between 1946 to 1964) are reaching their highest earning power and are the most likely group to upgrade their home decor. In addition, many of these individuals are purchasing vacation and second homes, as evidenced by the increasing number of such homes in the U.S. Additionally, the children of the "baby boomers" are entering their college years and are expected to drive the next wave of household formation in the U.S. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the home ownership rate remained at an all-time high of $69 \%$ as of the end of calendar 2005, and the average size of homes in the U.S. continues to increase, further driving purchases of furniture.

Overview of Commercial Furniture Industry
The market for commercial furniture - furniture used in offices and other institutional settings - grew approximately $12.7 \%$ from 2004 to 2005, following a $5.1 \%$ increase the previous year. These two years of growth represent a reversal of a significant decline that had occurred over the three prior years. The commercial furniture industry declined significantly from 2001 through 2003, reflecting economic trends affecting businesses, which are the ultimate customers in this industry. According to the Business and Institutional Furniture Manufacturer's Association (BIFMA), a trade association, the commercial furniture market in the U.S. totaled approximately $\$ 10.1$ billion in 2005 in wholesale shipments by manufacturers. Although higher than 2004, this total still represents a significant decrease from the industry's peak of $\$ 13.3$ billion in 2000. From 1990 to 2000, the commercial furniture industry grew at a compound annual growth rate of $5.3 \%$. The commercial furniture industry is largely affected by economic trends.

## Products

As described above, the company's products include mattress fabrics and upholstery fabrics, which are the company's identified operating segments.

Mattress Fabrics Segment

Mattress fabrics segment sales constituted $36 \%$ of sales in fiscal 2006, and $37 \%$ in fiscal 2005. The company has emphasized fabrics that have broad appeal at prices generally ranging from $\$ 1.35$ to $\$ 7.50$ per yard. The average selling price for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was $\$ 2.26, \$ 2.33$, and $\$ 2.45$, respectively.

Upholstery fabrics segment sales totaled 64\% of sales for fiscal 2006, and 63\% in fiscal 2005. The company has emphasized fabrics that have broad appeal at "good" and "better" prices, generally ranging from $\$ 2.75$ to $\$ 8.00$ per yard. The average selling price for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was $\$ 4.22$, $\$ 4.19$, and \$4.20, respectively.

We market products in all categories of fabric that manufacturers currently use for bedding and furniture. The following table indicates the product lines within each segment, and a brief description of their characteristics.

## Culp Fabric Categories by Segment

Mattress Fabrics

Woven jacquards (damask)

Prints Variety of designs produced economically by screen printing onto a variety of base fabrics, including jacquards, knits, poly/cotton sheeting and non-wovens.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Knits } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Floral and other intricate designs produced on } \\ \text { special-width circular machines utilizing synthetic and }\end{array}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Knits } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Floral and other intricate designs produced on } \\ \text { special-width circular machines utilizing synthetic and }\end{array}\end{array}$ natural yarns. Knits have inherent stretching properties and spongy softness, and conforms well with layered foam packages.

Upholstery Fabrics
Woven jacquards

Woven dobbies

Velvets
Suede fabrics
Florals and other intricate designs. Woven on complex looms using synthetic and natural yarns.

Elaborate, complex designs such as florals and tapestries in traditional, transitional and contemporary styles. Woven on intricate looms using a variety of synthetic and natural yarns.

Fabrics that use straight lines to produce geometric designs such as plaids, stripes and solids in traditional and country styles. Woven on less complicated looms using several weaving constructions and primarily synthetic yarns.

Soft fabrics with a plush feel. Produced with synthetic yarns, either by weaving or by "tufting" yarn into a base fabric. Basic designs such as plaids in both traditional and contemporary styles.

Fabrics woven or knitted using microdenier polyester yarns, which are piece dyed and finished, usually by sanding. The fabrics are typically plain or small jacquard designs, with some being printed. These are sometimes referred to as microdenier suedes, and some are "leather look" fabrics.

The mattress fabrics segment operates two manufacturing plants, located in Stokesdale, NC, and St. Jerome, Quebec, Canada. Over the past two years, we had capital expenditures of approximately $\$ 10.0$ million to consolidate all of our production of woven jacquards, or damask ticking, to these two plants and to modernize the equipment in these facilities. The result has been an increase in manufacturing efficiency and a substantial reduction in operating costs. With this new manufacturing configuration, jacquard ticking is woven at both ticking plants, and printed ticking is produced at the stokesdale, NC, facility. Finishing and inspection processes for mattress fabrics are conducted at the Stokesdale plant.

In addition to the mattress fabrics we manufacture, the company has important supply arrangements in place that allow us to source mattress ticking from strategic suppliers. A sourcing arrangement with a supplier that has established a manufacturing plant in NC, allows the company to source knitted ticking based on designs created by culp designers. Also, a portion of our woven jacquard ticking is obtained from a supplier located in Turkey, based on designs created by Culp designers.

## Upholstery Fabrics Segment

As of April 30, 2006, the company operates three upholstery manufacturing facilities in the U.S. and three in China. The U.S. facilities produce woven jacquards and dobbies, velvets, and specialty yarn for internal uses. During fiscal 2006, the company continued to significantly simplify its U.S. manufacturing operations in this segment. Currently, woven jacquards are produced in Graham, NC, while velvets are produced in Anderson, SC. Yarn production operations have been consolidated into the Lincolnton, NC plant, and the former yarn extrusion operation was sold in August 2005. In addition, in fiscal 2006 the company outsourced its U.S. decorative fabrics finishing operations to an outside provider.

Our three facilities in China are all located within the same industrial park near Shanghai. At these plants, we apply strategic value-added finishing processes to fabrics sourced from a limited number of strategic suppliers in China, and we inspect sourced fabric there as well. In addition, the Shanghai operations include a facility where sourced fabric is "cut and sewn" to provide "kits" that are designed to be placed on specific furniture frames used by one of the company's customers.

A growing portion of the upholstery fabrics segment's products, as well as certain elements of our production processes, are now being sourced from outside suppliers. The development of our facilities in China has provided a base from which to access a variety of products, including some fabrics (such as microdenier suedes) that are not produced anywhere within the U.S. We have found opportunities to develop significant relationships with key overseas suppliers that allow us to source products on a very cost effective basis while at the same time limiting our investment of capital in manufacturing assets. The company sources unfinished and finished fabrics from a limited number of strategic suppliers in China who are willing to work with the company to commit significant capacity to our needs while working with the company and its product development team to meet the demands of our customers. In addition, a significant initiative is now underway to source yarns in China, for our U.S. produced product lines.

During fiscal 2006, the upholstery fabrics segment has outsourced much of its U.S. yarn production, allowing us to close two yarn production plants. In addition, our yarn extrusion operations were sold to a vendor who now extrudes yarn for the company's use. During the past fiscal year, we have also outsourced our U.S. decorative fabric finishing operations, allowing the company to obtain those services on a variable basis at a lower unit cost from a supplier who has long-term experience in upholstery fabric finishing. As these developments have proceeded, the company has reduced the carrying value of its fixed assets committed to U.S. upholstery fabric manufacturing from $\$ 32$ million at the end of fiscal 2005 to $\$ 10$ million at the end of fiscal 2006. The company continues to look for ways to lower production costs by outsourcing certain elements of our manufacturing operations, while still maintaining control over the critical value-added components of the business, such as product development, design and quality control.

Consumer tastes and preferences related to upholstered furniture and bedding change over time. The use of new fabrics and designs remains an important consideration for manufacturers to distinguish their products at retail and to capitalize on changes in preferred colors, patterns and textures. Culp's success is largely dependent on our ability to market fabrics with appealing designs and patterns.

The process of developing new designs involves maintaining an awareness of broad fashion and color trends both in the United States and internationally. The company has developed a design and product development team that searches continually for new ideas and for the best sources of raw materials, yarns and fabrics, both domestic and international. The team then develops product offerings using these ideas and materials, taking both fashion trends and cost considerations into account, to offer products designed to meet the needs of furniture manufacturers and ultimately the desires of consumers. Upholstery fabric designs are introduced at major fabric trade conferences that occur twice a year in the United States (June and December).

Mattress ticking designs are introduced, once annually, during the summer to fall time frame. Additionally, the company works closely with its customers, throughout the year, on new design introductions.

Distribution
Mattress Fabrics Segment

All of the company's shipments of mattress ticking originate from our manufacturing facility in Stokesdale, NC. Through arrangements with major customers and in accordance with industry practice, the company maintains a significant inventory of mattress fabrics at our distribution facility in Stokesdale, so that products may be shipped to customers with short lead times and on a "just in time" basis.

Upholstery Fabrics Segment

The majority of the company's upholstery fabrics are marketed on a "make to order" basis and are shipped directly from our distribution facilities in Burlington, NC, and Shanghai, China. In addition, an inventory comprising a limited number of fabric patterns is held at distribution facilities in Tupelo, MS, and Shanghai, China, from which customers can obtain fabrics on a "purchase from stock" basis.

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials
Mattress Fabrics Segment

Raw materials account for approximately $70 \%$ of ticking production costs. The mattress fabrics segment purchases synthetic yarns (polypropylene, polyester and rayon), rayon staple fiber, certain greige (unfinished) goods, latex adhesives, laminates, dyes and other chemicals. Most of these materials are available from several suppliers, and prices fluctuate based on supply and demand, the general rate of inflation, and particularly on the price of petrochemical products. Much of the rayon yarn used to produce mattress fabric is spun at the company's Canadian facility. The mattress fabrics segment has generally not had significant difficulty in obtaining raw materials.

Raw materials account for approximately 50\%-60\% of upholstery fabric manufacturing costs. This segment purchases synthetic yarns (polypropylene, polyester, acrylic and rayon), acrylic staple fiber, latex adhesives, dyes and other chemicals from various suppliers.

As the upholstery fabric segment has moved to outsource more of its yarn requirements, it has become more dependent upon suppliers for components yarn. The company still produces a portion of our yarn in a company facility, but the more commonly available yarn types are purchased from third parties. The yarns produced by the company are mainly specialty yarns, such as wrap spun yarns, that are not generally available outside the company. In addition, we have outsourced a number of our U.S. upholstery fabric manufacturing services, to suppliers, such as extrusion of yarn and upholstery fabric finishing. As the reliance on outside suppliers for basic production needs such as base fabrics, yarns, and finishing services has increased, the upholstery fabrics segment has become more vulnerable to price increases, delays, or production interruptions caused by problems within businesses that we do not control.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, Solutia, Inc., which was our only supplier for acrylic fiber, exited the acrylic fiber business. In response to this event, the upholstery fabrics segment identified certain international suppliers as alternative sources for procuring acrylic fiber. Longer lead times and less certainty of delivery times associated with offshore suppliers have required the company to carry a larger inventory of acrylic fiber to accommodate this change in supply for one of its major raw materials.

Both Segments

Many of our basic raw materials are petrochemical products or are produced from such products. For this reason, our material costs are especially sensitive to changes in prices for petrochemicals and the underlying price of oil. Recent increases in market prices for oil have caused significant increases in the raw materials costs of both of the company's segments.

In addition, the financial condition and performance of a number of U.S.-based yarn suppliers has been severely impacted by the reductions in the overall size of the U.S. textile industry over the last several years. These conditions have increased the risk of business failures or further consolidations among the company's yarn suppliers to the North American-based portions of our business. The company expects this situation to cause additional disruptions and pricing pressures in the company's supply of yarns obtained in the U.S. as overall demand for textiles produced in the U.S. declines.

Seasonality
Mattress Fabrics Segment

The ticking business and the bedding industry in general are slightly seasonal, with sales being the highest in late spring and late summer, with another peak in mid-winter

Upholstery Fabrics Segment

The upholstery fabrics business is somewhat seasonal, with increased sales during the company's second and fourth fiscal quarters. This seasonality results from one-week closings of our manufacturing facilities, and the facilities of most of our customers in the United States, during the company's first and third fiscal quarters for the holiday weeks of July 4th and Christmas. This effect is becoming less pronounced as a larger portion of our fabrics are produced or sold in locations outside the United States.

Competition for the company's products is high and is based primarily on price, design, quality, timing of delivery and service.

Mattress Fabrics Segment

The mattress ticking market is concentrated in a few relatively large suppliers. We believe our principal mattress ticking competitors are Bekaert Textiles B.V., Blumenthal Print Works, Inc., Burlington Industries (now a part of International Textile Group, or ITG) and several smaller companies producing knitted ticking.

Upholstery Fabrics Segment

In the upholstery fabric market, we compete against a large number of producers, ranging from a few large manufacturers comparable in size to the company to small producers, and a growing number of "converters" of fabrics. We believe our principal upholstery fabric competitors are Joan Fabrics Corporation (including its Mastercraft division), Richloom Fabrics, Specialty Textile, Inc. (or STI), Quaker Fabric Corporation and Merrimack Fabrics.

Until approximately five years ago, overseas producers of upholstery fabric had not historically been a source of significant competition for the company. Recent trends, however, have shown significant increased competition in U.S. markets by foreign producers of upholstery fabric, furniture components and finished upholstery furniture, as well as increased sales in the U.S. of leather furniture produced overseas (which competes with upholstered furniture for market share). Foreign manufacturers often are able to produce upholstery fabric and other components of furniture with significantly lower raw material and production costs (especially labor) than those of the company's U.S. operations and other U.S.-based manufacturers. The company competes with lower cost foreign goods on the basis of design, quality, reliability and speed of delivery. In addition, as discussed above, the company has established operations in China to facilitate the sourcing and finishing of goods produced in China.

The trend in the upholstery fabrics industry to greater overseas competition and the entry of more converters (companies who buy and re-sell, but do not manufacture fabrics) has caused the upholstery fabrics industry to become substantially more fragmented in recent years, with lower barriers to entry. This has resulted in a larger number of competitors selling upholstery fabrics, with an increase in competition based on price.

Environmental and Other Regulations
The company is subject to various federal and state laws and regulations, including the Occupational Safety and Health Act and federal and state environmental laws, as well as similar laws governing our manufacturing facility in Canada. The company periodically reviews its compliance with such laws and regulations in an attempt to minimize the risk of violations.

Our operations involve a variety of materials and processes that are subject to environmental regulation. Under current law, environmental liability can arise from previously owned properties, leased properties and properties owned by third parties, as well as from properties currently owned and leased by the company. Environmental liabilities can also be asserted by adjacent landowners or other third parties in toxic tort litigation.

In addition, under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), and analogous state statutes, liability can be imposed for the disposal of waste at sites targeted for cleanup by federal and state regulatory authorities. Liability under CERCLA is strict as well as joint and several.

We are periodically involved in environmental claims or litigation and requests for information from environmental regulators. Each of these matters is carefully evaluated, and the company provides for environmental matters based on information presently available. Based on this information, the company does not believe that environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on either the company's financial condition or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that the costs associated with environmental matters will not increase in the future.

Employees
As of April 30, 2006, the company had approximately 1,300 employees, compared to approximately 1,900 at the end of fiscal 2005. The number of employees has been reduced substantially over the past several years in connection with the company's restructuring initiatives and efforts to reduce U.S. manufacturing costs, as well as initiatives to outsource certain operations such as finishing and yarn extrusion (see table below).

The hourly employees at our manufacturing facility in Canada (approximately $16 \%$ of the company's workforce) are represented by a local, unaffiliated union. The collective bargaining agreement for these employees was renewed in 2005 and expires on February 1, 2008. The company is not aware of any efforts to organize any more of our employees, and we believe our relations with our employees are good.

The following table illustrates the changes in the location of the company's workforce and number of employees over the past five years.

|  | Number of Employees |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal 2006 | Fiscal 2005 | Fiscal 2004 | Fiscal 2003 | Fiscal 2002 |
| Mattress Fabrics Segment | 351 | 372 | 362 | 387 | 392 |
| Upholstery Fabrics Segment |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 659 | 1,404 | 1,915 | 2,100 | 2,614 |
| China | 270 | 109 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Upholstery Fabrics Segment | 929 | 1,513 | 1,955 | 2,100 | 2,614 |
| Unallocated corporate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | 1,283 | 1,888 | 2,320 | 2,490 | 3,009 |

Customers and Sales
Mattress Fabrics Segment

Major customers include the leading bedding manufacturers: Sealy, Serta (National Bedding), and Simmons. The loss of one or more of these customers would have a material adverse effect on the company. Our mattress ticking customers also include many small and medium-size bedding manufacturers.

Major customers are leading manufacturers of upholstered furniture, including Ashley, Bassett, Benchcraft, Berkline, Best Home Furnishings, Flexsteel, Furniture Brands International (Broyhill, Thomasville, and Lane/Action), Klaussner Furniture and La-Z-Boy (La-Z-Boy Residential, Bauhaus, England, and Clayton Marcus). Major customers for the company's fabrics for commercial furniture include HON Industries and Global Upholstery. Our two largest customers in the upholstery fabrics segment are La-Z-Boy Incorporated and Furniture Brands International, Inc., the loss of either of which would have a material adverse effect on the company. The company's sales to La-Z-Boy accounted for approximately $12.7 \%$ of the company's total net sales in fiscal 2006. Patrick H. Norton, the recently retired Chairman of La-Z-Boy, serves on our board of directors.

The following table sets forth the company's net sales by geographic area by amount and percentage of total net sales for the three most recent fiscal years.

Net Sales by Geographic Area

> (dollars in thousands)

|  | Fiscal 2006 |  | Fiscal 2005 |  | Fiscal 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$213,552 | 81.7\% | \$254, 249 | 88.7\% | \$282, 865 | 88.8\% |
| North America (Excluding USA) | 18,944 | 7.3 | 22,503 | 7.9 | 26,740 | 8.4 |
| Far East and Asia | 28,104 | 10.8 | 8,690 | 3.0 | 6,954 | 2.2 |
| All other areas | 501 | 0.2 | 1,056 | 0.4 | 1,557 | 0.6 |
| Subtotal (International) | 47,549 | 18.3 | 32,249 | 11.3 | 35,251 | 11.2 |
| Total | \$261,101 | 100.0\% | \$286,498 | 100.0\% | \$318, 116 | 100.0\% |

For additional segment information, see note 16 in the consolidated financial statements.

Backlog
Mattress Ticking Segment

The backlog for mattress ticking is not a reliable predictor of future shipments because the majority of sales are on a just-in-time basis.

Upholstery Fabrics Segment

Although it is difficult to predict the amount of backlog that is "firm," the company has reported the portion of the upholstery fabric backlog from customers with confirmed shipping dates within five weeks of the end of the fiscal year. On April 30, 2006, the portion of the upholstery fabric backlog with confirmed shipping dates prior to June 4, 2006 was $\$ 14.8$ million, all of which are expected to be filled early during fiscal year 2007, as compared to $\$ 12.5$ million as of the end of fiscal 2005 (for confirmed shipping dates prior to June 5, 2005).

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to risks and uncertainties. In addition to the matters described above under "Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Information," set forth below are some of the risks and uncertainties that could cause a material adverse change in our results of operations or financial condition.

Restructuring initiatives create short-term costs that may not be offset by increased savings or efficiencies.

Over the past several years, we have undertaken significant restructuring activities, which have involved closing manufacturing plants, realigning manufacturing assets, and changes in product strategy. These actions are intended to lower manufacturing costs and increase efficiency, but they involve significant costs, including the write-off or write-down of assets, severance costs for terminated employees, contract termination costs, equipment moving costs, and similar charges. These charges have caused a decrease in earnings in the short-term. In addition, during the time that restructuring activities are underway, manufacturing inefficiencies are caused by moving equipment, realignment of assets, personnel changes, and by the consolidation process for certain functions. Unanticipated difficulties in restructuring activities or delays in accomplishing our goals could cause the costs of our restructuring initiatives to be greater than anticipated and the results achieved to be significantly lower, which would negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Our sales have been declining, and we have reported net losses in each of the past eight (8) fiscal quarters ending April 30, 2006.

We may not be able to restore the upholstery fabrics segment to consistent profitability. Our overall sales declined almost $9 \%$ during the past fiscal year, and they have declined by more than $32 \%$ since fiscal 2002. In the upholstery fabrics segment, sales are down significantly, and they have been declining rapidly for U.S. produced fabrics. We have undertaken a number of significant restructuring actions in recent years to address our profitability, including (i) consolidating production assets and purchasing more efficient equipment in the mattress fabrics segment, (ii) closing a number of U.S. manufacturing facilities in the upholstery fabrics segment, (iii) establishing upholstery fabrics facilities in China to take advantage of a lower cost environment and greater product diversity, and (iv) outsourcing certain production functions, in the U.S., including yarn production, and finishing of decorative fabrics. Successful completion of our restructuring plans depends on a number of variables, including our ability to consolidate certain functions, manage manufacturing processes with lower direct involvement, managing a longer supply chain, and similar issues. Sales continue to decline in both segments. There is no assurance that we will be able to manage our restructuring activities successfully to restore the company, especially the upholstery fabrics segment, to profitability.

Increased reliance on offshore operations and foreign sources of products or raw materials increases the likelihood of disruptions to our supply chain or our ability to deliver products to our customers on a timely basis.

During recent years, the company has established operations in China, and in addition we have been purchasing an increasing share of our products and raw materials from offshore sources, primarily in China. At the same time, our domestic manufacturing capacity for the upholstery fabrics segment has been greatly reduced. These changes have caused the company to place greater reliance on a much longer supply chain and on a larger number of suppliers that we do not control, which are inherently subject to greater risks of delay or disruption. In addition, operations and sourcing in foreign areas are subject to the risk of changing local governmental rules, taxes, changes in import rules or customs, potential political unrest, or other threats that could disrupt or increase the costs of operating in foreign areas or sourcing products overseas. Also, changes in relative values of currencies could increase our costs. Any of the risks associated with foreign operations and sources could cause unanticipated increases in operating costs or disruptions in business, which could negatively impact the company's ultimate financial results.

We may have difficulty managing the outsourcing arrangements increasingly being used by the company for products and services.

The company is relying more on outside sources for various products and services, including raw material, greige (unfinished) fabrics, finished fabrics, and services such as weaving and finishing. Increased reliance on outsourcing lowers our capital investment and fixed costs, but it decreases the amount of control that we have over certain elements of our production capacity. Interruptions in our ability to obtain raw materials, other required products or services from our outside suppliers on a timely and cost effective basis, especially if alternative suppliers cannot be immediately obtained, could disrupt our production and damage our financial results.

Further write-offs or write-downs of upholstry fabric segment assets would result in a decrease in our earnings.

The company has long-lived assets, consisting mainly of property, plant and equipment. Accounting rules require that these assets be tested for impairment of their valuation at least annually, as well as upon the occurrence of certain events. When assets are taken out of service, which has occurred recently on several occasions in connection with our restructuring activities, they must be tested for impairment, which can result in significant write-downs in the value of those assets. Restructuring activities and other tests for impairment have resulted and could in the future result in the write-down of a portion of our long-lived assets and a corresponding reduction in earnings and net worth. In fiscal 2006, the company experienced asset write-downs of approximately $\$ 6.0$ million, all in the upholstry fabrics segment.

Write-offs of assets or weak financial performance could cause us to breach financial covenants in our debt agreements.

At the end of fiscal 2006, the company had $\$ 47.7$ million of long-term debt, of which approximately $\$ 42.4$ million was owed on unsecured senior notes issued in 1998. Under the debt agreements that govern our long-term debt, we are required to maintain compliance with certain financial covenants, including minimum tangible net worth, debt to tangible capitalization, debt to capital, and interest and lease payment coverage. The company has been able to maintain compliance with these financial covenants. However, in some cases the "cushion" between the required financial covenants and our actual financial performance has been shrinking. For example, our tangible net worth has decreased significantly in recent years due to asset write-offs and operating losses. Additional write-offs of assets or continued operating losses would decrease the company's tangible net worth further, which could lead to a breach of financial covenants and a default under our loan agreements. In particular, we reported a deferred income tax asset of $\$ 27.3$ million as of April 30, 2006. Our continued ability to carry this deferred tax asset on our balance sheet at its full value depends upon our ability to generate taxable income in the future attributable to U.S. operations. A write-off of a portion or all of this asset would cause a breach of the minimum tangible net worth covenant that applies to our unsecured senior notes. A breach of our debt covenants would give the lenders under our long term debt agreements the right to declare all of the debt immediately due and payable and to terminate our right to obtain further borrowings. If such an event occurred, it is unlikely that we would be able to repay all of our debt from current resources, and there is no assurance that we would be able to find alternative sources of financing.

Changes in the price, availability and quality of raw materials could increase our costs or cause production delays and sales interruptions, which would result in decreased earnings.

The company depends upon outside suppliers for most of its raw material needs, and increasingly we rely upon outside suppliers for component materials such as yarn and unfinished fabrics, as well as for certain services such as finishing and weaving. Fluctuations in the price, availability and quality of these goods and services could have a negative effect on our production costs and ability to meet the demands of our customers, which would affect our ability to generate sales and earnings. In many cases, we are not able to pass through increased costs of raw materials or increased production costs to our customers through price increases. In particular, many of our basic raw materials are petrochemical products or are produced from such products. For this reason, our material costs are especially sensitive to changes in prices for petrochemicals and the underlying price of oil. Increases in prices for oil, petrochemical products or other raw materials and services provided by outside suppliers could significantly increase our costs and negatively affect earnings.

Increases in energy costs would increase our operating costs and could adversely affect earnings.

Higher prices for electricity, natural gas and fuel increase our production and shipping costs. A significant shortage, increased prices, or interruptions in the availability of these energy sources would increase the costs of producing and delivering products to our customers, and would be likely to adversely affect our earnings. In many cases, we are not able to pass along the full extent of increases in our production costs to customers through price increases. During fiscal 2006, energy prices increased significantly, in part due to supply disruptions caused by hurricanes. Although some price increases were implemented to offset the effect of these increased costs, we were not able to fully recoup these costs, and operating margins were negatively affected. Further increases in energy costs could have a negative effect on our earnings.

Business difficulties or failures of large customers could result in a decrease in our sales and earnings.

The company currently has several customers that account for a substantial portion of its sales. In the mattress fabric segment, several large bedding manufacturers have large market shares and comprise a significant portion of our mattress fabric sales. In the upholstery fabrics segment, La-Z-Boy Inc. accounted for $13 \%$ of consolidated net sales during fiscal 2006, and several other large furniture manufacturers comprised a significant portion of sales. A business failure or other significant financial difficulty by one or more of our major customers could cause a significant loss in sales, an adverse effect on our earnings, and collection of our trade accounts receivable.

If we are unable to manage our cash effectively, we will not have funds available to repay debt and to maintain the flexibility necessary for successful operation of our business.

Our ability to meet our cash obligations depends on our operating cash flow, access to trade credit, and our ability to borrow under our debt agreements. In addition to the cash needs of operating our business, we have substantial debt repayments that are due over the next several years on our unsecured senior notes (see note 10 to the consolidated financial statements). During the past fiscal year, in spite of incurring losses, we were able to generate substantial cash flow through reductions of working capital. Our ability to generate cash flow going forward will rely to a heavier degree on our ability to generate profits from our business, and we have not been able to generate earnings on a consistent basis in recent quarters. If we are not able to generate cash during the coming year, we may not be able to provide the funds needed to operate and maintain our business or to make payments on our debt as they become due.

Further loss of market share due to competition would result in further declines in sales and could result in additional losses or decreases in earnings.

Our business is highly competitive, and in particular the upholstery fabric industry is fragmented and is experiencing an increase in the number of competitors. As a result, we face significant competition from a large number of competitors, both foreign and domestic. We compete with many other manufacturers of fabric, as well as converters who source fabrics from various producers and market them to manufacturers of furniture and bedding. In many cases, these fabrics are sourced from foreign suppliers who have a lower cost structure than the company. The highly competitive nature of our business means we are constantly subject to the risk of losing market share. Our sales have decreased significantly over the past five years due in part to the increased number of competitors in the marketplace, especially foreign sources of fabric. As a result of increased competition, there have been deflationary pressures on the prices for many of our products, which makes it more difficult to pass along increased operating costs such as raw materials, energy or labor in the form of price increases and puts downward pressure on our profit margins. Also, the large number of competitors and wide range of product offerings in our business can make it more difficult to differentiate our products through design, styling, finish and other techniques.

If we fail to anticipate and respond to changes in consumer tastes and fashion trends, our sales and earnings may decline.

Demand for various types of upholstery fabrics and mattress coverings change over time due to fashion trends and changing consumer tastes for furniture and bedding. Our success in marketing our fabrics depends upon our ability to anticipate and respond in a timely manner to fashion trends in home furnishings. If we fail to identify and respond to these changes, our sales of these products may decline. In addition, incorrect projections about the demand for certain products could cause the accumulation of excess raw material or finished goods inventory, which could lead to inventory write-downs and further decreases in earnings.

Overall demand for our products depends upon consumer demand for furniture and bedding, which is subject to variations in the general economy. Because purchases of furniture or bedding are discretionary purchases for most individuals and businesses, demand for these products is sometimes more easily influenced by economic trends than demand for other products. Economic downturns can affect consumer spending habits and demand for home furnishings, which reduces the demand for our products and therefore could cause a decrease in our sales and earnings.

We are subject to litigation and environmental regulations that could adversely impact our sales and earnings.

We are, and in the future may be, a party to legal proceedings and claims, including environmental matters, product liability and employment disputes, some of which claim significant damages. We face the continual business risk of exposure to claims that our business operations have caused personal injury or property damage. We maintain insurance against product liability claims and in some cases have indemnification agreements with regard to environmental claims, but there can be no assurance that these arrangements will continue to be available on acceptable terms or that such arrangements will be adequate for liabilities actually incurred. Given the inherent uncertainty of litigation, there can be no assurance that claims against the company will not have a material adverse impact on our earnings or financial condition. We are also subject to various laws and regulations in our business, including those relating to environmental protection and the discharge of materials into the environment. We could incur substantial costs as a result of noncompliance with or liability for cleanup or other costs or damages under environmental laws or other regulations.

The company must comply with a number of governmental regulations applicable to our business, and changes in those regulations could adversely affect our business.

Our products and raw materials are and will continue to be subject to regulation in the United States by various federal, state and local regulatory authorities. In addition, other governments and agencies in other jurisdictions regulate the manufacture, sale and distribution of our products and raw materials. For example, standards for flame resistance of fabrics have been recently introduced in the state of California, and additional standards are scheduled to apply on a nationwide basis beginning July 1, 2007. Also, rules and restrictions regarding the importation of fabrics and other materials, including custom duties, quotas and other regulations, are continually changing. Environmental laws, labor laws, tax regulations and other regulations also continually affect our business. All of these rules and regulations can and do change from time to time, which can increase our costs or require us to make changes in our manufacturing processes, product mix, sources of products and raw materials, or distribution. Changes in the rules and regulations applicable to our business may negatively impact our sales and earnings.

The company's market capitalization and shareholders equity have fallen below the level required for continued listing on the New York Stock Exchange.

Our common stock is currently traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). Under the NYSE's current listing standards, we are required to have market capitalization or shareholders equity of more than $\$ 75$ million to maintain compliance with continued listing standards. The company's market capitalization is now below $\$ 75$ million, and as of the end of fiscal 2006 our shareholders' equity was $\$ 74.5$ million. As a result, the company will be listed as "below compliance" with NYSE listing standards, and we must submit a plan regarding our ability to return to compliance with these standards. If the company is not able to return to compliance with the NYSE standards, our stock will be delisted from trading on the NYSE, resulting in the need to find another market on which our stock can be listed or causing our stock to cease to be traded on an active market, which could result in a reduction in the liquidity for our stock and a reduction in demand for our stock.

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The company's headquarters are located in High Point, North Carolina. As of the end of fiscal 2006, the company owned or leased ten (10) active and three (3) inactive manufacturing or distribution facilities, and a corporate headquarters. The following is a list of the company's principal administrative, manufacturing and distribution facilities. The manufacturing facilities and distribution centers are organized by segment.

Location
Principal Use

Approx. Total Area Sq. Ft.)

Expiration of Lease (1)
o Administrative:
High Point, North Carolina

Mattress Fabrics:
Stokesdale, North Carolina
St. Jerome, Quebec, Canada
o Upholstery Fabrics:
Graham, North Carolina
Anderson, South Carolina
Lincolnton, North Carolina
Burlington, North Carolina
Tupelo, Mississippi
Shanghai, China
Shanghai, China
Shanghai, China
o Inactive
Chattanooga, Tennessee
Burlington, North Carolina (2)
Shelby, North Carolina (3)

|  | Approx. Total Area | Expiration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal Use | Sq. Ft.) | of Lease (1) |

(1) Includes all options to renew, except for inactive properties
(2) Facility is under contract to be sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.
(3) The company sold this facility in May 2006.

The company believes that its facilities are in good condition, well-maintained and suitable and adequate for present utilization. Due to the significant restructuring efforts in the upholstery fabrics segment during fiscal 2006, including closing multiple plant locations, determining an accurate measure of capacity segment is difficult. In the mattress fabrics segment, however, management has estimated that the company has manufacturing capacity to produce approximately $5 \%$ more products (measured in yards) than it sold during fiscal 2006. In addition, the company has the ability to source additional mattress ticking and upholstery fabrics from outside suppliers, further increasing its ultimate output of finished goods.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
There are no legal proceedings to which the company, or its subsidiaries, is a party or of which any of their property is the subject that are required to be disclosed under this item.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of shareholders during the fourth quarter ended April 30, 2006.

PART II
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Registrar and Transfer Agent
Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
c/o Computershare Investor Services
Post Office Box 43023
Providence, Rhode Island 02940-3023
(800) 254-5196
(781) 575-2879 (Foreign shareholders)
www. computershare.com $\backslash$ equiserve

As of April 30, 2006, the company's market capitalization and shareholders' equity have fallen below the level required for continued listing on the NYSE. Under the NYSE's current listing standards, the company is required to have market capitalization or shareholders' equity of more than $\$ 75$ million to maintain compliance with continued listing standards. The company's market capitalization is now below $\$ 75$ million, and as of April 30, 2006, its shareholders equity was $\$ 74.5$ million. As a result, the company will be listed as "below compliance" with NYSE listing standards, and the company must submit a plan regarding its ability to return to compliance with these standards. If the company is not able to return to compliance with NYSE standards, its stock will be delisted from trading on the NYSE. See "Risk Factors."

Stock Listing
Culp, Inc. common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CFI. As of April 30, 2006, Culp, Inc. had approximately 1,500 shareholders based on the number of holders of record and an estimate of individual participants represented by security position listings.

Analyst Coverage
These analysts cover Culp, Inc.:
BB\&T Capital Markets - Joel Havard
Morgan Keegan - Laura Champine, CFA
Raymond, James \& Associates - Budd Bugatch, CFA
Value Line - Craig Sirois
See Item 6, Selected Financial Data, and selected Quarterly Data in Item 8, for market and dividend information regarding the company's common stock.

| (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { fiscal } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fiscal } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fiscal } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fiscal } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fiscal } \\ 2002 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { percent } \\ \text { change } \\ 2006 / 2005 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME (LOSS) STATEMENT DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| net sales | \$ | 261,101 | 286,498 | 318,116 | 339,646 | 382,574 | (8.9) \% |
| cost of sales (6) |  | 237,233 | 260,341 | 259,794 | 282,073 | 319,717 | (8.9) |
| gross profit |  | 23,868 | 26,157 | 58,322 | 57,573 | 62,857 | (8.8) |
| selling, general, and administrative expenses (6) |  | 28,954 | 35,357 | 41,019 | 40,040 | 48,059 | (18.1) |
| goodwill impairment/amortization |  | - | 5,126 | - | - | 1,395 | (100.0) |
| restructuring (credit) expense and asset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income (loss) from operations |  | $(15,359)$ | $(24,698)$ | 18,350 | 4,552 | 3,035 | (37.8) |
| interest expense |  | 4,010 | 3,713 | 5,528 | 6,636 | 7,907 | 8.0 |
| interest income |  | (126) | (134) | (376) | (596) | (176) | (6.0) |
| early extinguishment of debt |  | - | - | 1,672 | - | - | - |
| other expense |  | 634 | 517 | 750 | 805 | 1,444 | 22.6 |
| income (loss) before income taxes |  | $(19,877)$ | $(28,794)$ | 10,776 | $(2,293)$ | $(6,140)$ | (31.0) |
| income taxes |  | $(8,081)$ | $(10,942)$ | 3,556 | $(1,557)$ | $(2,700)$ | (26.1) |
| income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change |  | $(11,796)$ | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 | (736) | $(3,440)$ | (33.9) |
| cumulative effect of accounting change, net of income tax |  | - | - | - | $(24,151)$ | - | - |
| net income (loss) | \$ | $(11,796)$ | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 | $(24,887)$ | $(3,440)$ | 33.9 |
| depreciation (7) | \$ | 14,362 | 18,884 | 13,642 | 13,990 | 17,274 | (23.9) |
| weighted average shares outstanding |  | 11,567 | 11,549 | 11,525 | 11,462 | 11,230 | 0.2 |
| weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution |  | 11,567 | 11,549 | 11,777 | 11,462 | 11,230 | 0.2 |
| PER SHARE DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basic income (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change | \$ | (1.02) | (1.55) | 0.63 | (0.06) | (0.31) | N.M |
| cumulative effect of accounting change |  |  |  | - | (2.11) | - | - |
| net income (loss) | \$ | (1.02) | (1.55) | 0.63 | (2.17) | (0.31) | 34.03 |

diluted income (loss) per share:
income (loss) before cumulative effect of
accounting change cumulative effect of accounting change
cumulative effect of accounting change

| net income (loss) | $\$(1.02)$ | $(1.55)$ | 0.61 | $(2.17)$ | $(0.31)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34.0 |  |  |  |  |  |


| book value | 6.39 |  | 7.43 | 8.95 | 8.33 | 10.52 | (13.9) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| operating working capital (5) | \$ | 44,907 | 56,471 | 64,441 | 61,937 | 76,938 | (20.5) | \% |
| property, plant and equipment, net |  | 44,639 | 66,032 | 77,770 | 84,962 | 89,772 | (32.4) |  |
| total assets |  | 157,467 | 176,123 | 193,816 | 218,153 | 287,713 | (10.6) |  |
| capital expenditures |  | 6,470 | 14,360 | 6,747 | 12,229 | 4,729 | (54.9) |  |
| long-term debt (1) |  | 47,722 | 50,550 | 51,030 | 76,500 | 108,484 | (5.6) |  |
| shareholders' equity |  | 74,523 | 85,771 | 103,391 | 95,765 | 119, 065 | (13.1) |  |
| capital employed (3) |  | 122,245 | 136,321 | 154,421 | 172,265 | 227,549 | (10.3) |  |

RATIOS \& OTHER DATA

| gross profit margin | 9.1\% | 9.1\% | 18.3\% | 17.0\% | 16.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| operating income (loss) margin | (5.9)\% | (8.6)\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% |
| net income (loss) margin before cumulative eff accounting change | (4.5)\% | (6.2)\% | 2.3\% | (0.2)\% | (0.9)\% |
| effective income tax rate | 40.7\% | 38.0\% | 33.0\% | 67.9\% | 44.0\% |
| long-term debt to total capital employed ratio (1) | 39.0\% | 37.1\% | 33.0\% | 44.4\% | 47.7\% |
| operating working capital turnover (5) | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| days sales in receivables | 41 | 37 | 35 | 35 | 41 |
| inventory turnover | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 |

STOCK DATA
stock price
high
low
close
P/E ratio (2)
high (4)
low (4)
daily average trading volume (shares)
\$

| 5.23 | 9.10 | 12.28 | 17.89 | 10.74 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.83 | 4.20 | 5.05 | 3.75 | 2.12 |
| 4.64 | 4.70 | 8.61 | 5.00 | 9.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| N.M. | N.M. | 20 | N.M. | N.M. |
| N.M. | N.M. | 8 | N.M. | N.M. |
| 12.5 | 21.1 | 55.9 | 92.3 | 24.9 |

(1) Long-term debt includes long- and current maturities of long-term debt.
(2) P/E ratios based on trailing 12 -month net income (loss) per share
(3) Capital employed includes long-term debt and shareholders' equity
(4) N.M - Not meaningful
(5) Operating working capital for this calculation is accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable
(6) The company incurred restructuring and related charges in fiscal 2006, 2005, 2003, and 2002. See note 2 of the company's consolidated financial statements
(7) Includes accelerated depreciation of $\$ 5.0$ and $\$ 6.0$ million for fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following analysis of the financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes attached thereto.

## Overview

The company's fiscal year is the 52 or 53 week period ending on the Sunday closest to April 30. The years ended April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005 each included 52 weeks. The company's operations are classified into two business segments: mattress fabrics and upholstery fabrics. The mattress fabrics segment manufactures and sells fabrics to bedding manufacturers. The upholstery fabrics segment manufacturers and sells fabrics primarily to residential and commercial (contract) furniture manufacturers.

The company evaluates the operating performance of its segments based upon income (loss) from operations before restructuring and related charges or credits, goodwill impairment, and certain unallocated corporate expenses. Unallocated corporate expenses represent primarily compensation and benefits for certain executive officers and all costs related to being a public company. Segment assets include assets used in the operation of each segment and consist of accounts receivable, inventories, and property, plant and equipment.

The company's net sales for fiscal 2006 decreased $8.9 \%$ to $\$ 261.1$ million, compared with $\$ 286.5$ million for fiscal 2005. The company reported a net loss of $\$ 11.8$ million, or $\$ 1.02$ per share diluted, compared with a net loss of $\$ 17.9$ million, or $\$ 1.55$ per share diluted in fiscal 2005. Restructuring and related charges of $\$ 17.9$ million were included in the net loss for fiscal 2006. In addition, restructuring and related charges of $\$ 18.0$ million and goodwill impairment of $\$ 5.1$ million were included in the net loss for fiscal 2005. The overall sales decline reflects lower sales in both operating segments. The sales decline in the upholstery fabrics segment was attributable to continued soft demand industry-wide for U.S. produced fabrics, driven by consumer preference for leather and suede furniture and other imported fabrics, including an increasing amount of cut and sewn kits. This sales decline in domestically produced upholstery fabrics was partially offset by the growth in sales from non-U.S. produced upholstery fabrics from the company's China operations. Sales of non-U.S. produced fabrics were $\$ 59.2$ million, up $89.4 \%$ from $\$ 31.3$ million fiscal 2005. In the mattress ticking segment, the sales decline was due to overall softness in industry sales of mattresses in the first half of fiscal 2006, and a decline in demand for printed ticking, which has become a less popular category. Also impacting mattress fabrics sales in fiscal 2006 was industry-wide shortage of polyurethane foam used by mattress manufacturers related to disruptions from hurricane activity on the Gulf Coast in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. In addition to the restructuring and related charges that lowered operating income in fiscal 2006, the company's financial performance was further impacted by higher raw material costs, the underutilization of the company's U.S. manufacturing capacity and manufacturing variances related to restructuring actions in the upholstery fabrics segment, and duty customs assessments and manufacturing variances related to the start-up of a capital project in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2006 in the mattress ticking segment.

In fiscal 2006, the company implemented two major restructuring initiatives in the upholstery fabrics segment, both of which were designed to bring U.S. manufacturing capacity in line with demand, reduce costs, increase asset utilization and improve profitability. In addition, in an effort to offset the loss in sales of U. S. produced upholstery fabric products, the company expanded its sourcing and marketing of upholstery fabric produced internationally, primarily from China. These measures are part of the company's continuing efforts to meet demand from consumers for certain types of fabrics, as well as serve the growing segment of the company's customer base that is establishing or expanding production in international areas. As a result of the company's offshore efforts, including its China platform, the company is experiencing higher sales of upholstery fabrics products produced outside the company's U. S. manufacturing plants, a trend that is expected to continue.

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain items in the company's consolidated statements of income (loss) as a percentage of net sales.

Net sales
Cost of sales
Gross profit
Selling, general and administrative expenses
Goodwill impairment
Restructuring (credit) expense and asset impairments

Income (loss) from operations
Interest expense, net
Early extinguishment of debt Other expense

Income (loss) before income taxes
Income taxes *

Net income (loss)

Calculated as a percentage of income (loss) before income taxes

The following tables set forth the company's sales, gross profit and operating income (loss) by segment for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004.

CULP, INC.
SALES, GROSS PROFIT AND OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) BY SEGMENT FOR THE YEARS ENDED APRIL 30, 2006 AND MAY 1, 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

|  | YEARS ENDED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amounts |  |  |  | Percent of Total Sales |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ril 30, } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | \% Over (Under) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | May 1, $2005$ |
| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 93,688 | 105,432 | (11.1)\% | 35.9 \% | 36.8 \% |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 167,413 | 181, 066 | (7.5)\% | 64.1 \% | 63.2 \% |
| Net Sales | \$ | 261,101 | 286,498 | (8.9)\% | 100.0 \% | 100.0 \% |

Gross Profit by Segment

| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 13,579 | 16,819 | (19.3)\% | 14.5 \% | 16.0 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 14,909 | 16,899 | (11.8)\% | 8.9 \% | 9.3 \% |
| Subtotal |  | 28,488 | 33,718 | (15.5)\% | 10.9 \% | 11.8 \% |
| Restructuring Related Charges |  | $(4,620)(1)$ | $(7,561)(4)$ | (38.9)\% | (1.8)\% | (2.6)\% |
| Gross Profit | \$ | 23,868 | 26,157 | (8.8)\% | 9.1 \% | 9.1 \% |

Selling, General and Administrative
Expenses by Segment
Mattress Fabrics
Upholstery Fabrics
Unallocated Corporate Expenses
$\quad$ Subtotal
Restructuring Related Charges

| \$ | 6,724 | 7,430 | (9.5)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15,863 | 23,334 | (32.0)\% |
|  | 3,345 | 4,480 | (25.3)\% |
|  | 25,932 | 35,244 | (26.4)\% |
|  | 3,022 | 113 | 2,574.3 \% |

Selling, General and
Administrative expenses

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\$ & 28,954 & 35,357 \\
============ & ===============
\end{array}
$$

Operating Income (Loss) by Segment
Mattress Fabrics

| \$ | 6,855 | 9,389 | (27.0)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (954) | $(6,435)$ | 85.2 \% |
|  | $(3,345)$ | $(4,480)$ | 25.3 \% |
|  | 2,556 | $(1,526)$ | 267.5 \% |
|  | 0 | $(5,126)(6)$ | (100.0)\% |
|  | $(17,915)(3)$ | $(18,046)(7)$ | (0.7)\% |


| 7.3 \% | 8.9 \% |
| :---: | :---: |
| (0.6)\% | (3.6)\% |
| (1.3)\% | (1.6)\% |
| 1.0 \% | (0.5)\% |
| 0.0 \% | (1.8)\% |
| (6.9)\% | (6.3)\% |
| (5.9)\% | (8.6)\% |

Depreciation by Segment

| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 3,662 | 3,635 | 0.7 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 5,740 | 9,227 | (37.8)\% |
| Subtotal |  | 9,402 | 12,862 | (26.9)\% |
| Accelerated Depreciation |  | 4,960 | 6,022 | (17.6)\% |
| Total Depreciation | \$ | 14,362 | 18,884 | (23.9)\% |

(1) The $\$ 4.6$ million represents restructuring related charges of $\$ 2.0$ million for inventory markdowns, $\$ 1.9$ million for accelerated depreciation, $\$ 665,000$ for operating costs associated with the closing of or closed plant facilities.
(2) The $\$ 3.0$ million represents accelerated depreciation.
(3) The $\$ 17.9$ million represents restructuring and related charges of $\$ 6.0$ million for write-downs of buildings and equipment, $\$ 5.0$ million for accelerated depreciation, $\$ 2.2$ million for asset movement costs, $\$ 2.0$ million for inventory markdowns, $\$ 1.7$ million for termination benefits, $\$ 665,000$ for operating costs associated with the closing of or closed plant
facilities, and $\$ 316,000$ for lease termination and other exit costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 10.3$ million, $\$ 4.6$ million, and $\$ 3.0$ million were included in restructuring expense, cost of sales, and selling, general, and administrative expenses, respectively.
(4) The $\$ 7.6$ million represents restructuring related charges of $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory markdowns.
5) The $\$ 113,000$ represents accelerated depreciation.
(6) The $\$ 5.1$ million represents a goodwill impairment charge related to the Culp Decorative Fabrics division within the upholstery fabrics segment.
(7) The $\$ 18.0$ million represents $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation, $\$ 5.7$ million for write-downs of buildings and equipment, $\$ 2.5$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 2.2$ million related to termination benefits, and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory markdowns. Of this total charge, $\$ 10.4$ million, $\$ 7.6$ million, and $\$ 113,000$ were included in restructuring expense, cost of sales, and selling, general, and administrative expenses, respectively.

CULP, INC.
SALES, GROSS PROFIT AND OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) BY SEGMENT FOR THE YEARS ENDED MAY 1, 2005 AND MAY 2, 2004

## (Amounts in thousands)



Gross Profit by Segment

| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 16,819 | 23,376 | (28.1) | \% | 16.0 \% | 22.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 16,899 | 34,946 | (51.6) | \% | 9.3 \% | 16.5 |  |
| Subtotal |  | 33,718 | 58,322 | (42.2) | \% | 11.8 \% | 18.3 |  |
| Restructuring related charges |  | $(7,561)(1)$ | 0 | (100.0) | \% | (2.6)\% | 0.0 | \% |
| Gross Profit | \$ | 26,157 | 58,322 | (55.2) | \% | 9.1 \% | 18.3 |  |

Selling, General and Administrative
Expenses by Segment

| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 7,430 | 8,390 | (11.4) | \% | 7.0 \% | 7.9 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 23,334 | 28,110 | (17.0) | \% | 12.9 \% | 13.3 \% |
| Unallocated corporate expenses |  | 4,480 | 4,519 | (0.9) | \% | 1.6 \% | 1.4 \% |
| Subtotal |  | 35,244 | 41,019 | (14.1) | \% | 12.3 \% | 12.9 \% |
| Restructuring related charges |  | 113 (2) | 0 | (100.0) | \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% |
| Selling, General and Administrative expenses | \$ | 35,357 | 41,019 | (13.8) |  | 12.3 \% | 12.9 \% |
| Operating Income (loss) by Segment |  |  |  |  |  | Operating Income (Loss) Margin |  |
| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 9,389 | 14,986 | (37.3) | \% | 8.9 \% | 14.1 \% |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | $(6,435)$ | 6,836 | (194.1) | \% | (3.6)\% | 3.2 \% |
| Unallocated corporate expenses |  | $(4,480)$ | $(4,519)$ | (0.9) | \% | (1.6)\% | (1.4)\% |
| Subtotal |  | $(1,526)$ | 17,303 | (108.8) | \% | (0.5)\% | 5.4 \% |
| Goodwill impairment |  | $(5,126)(3)$ | 0 | (100.0) | \% | (1.8)\% | 0.0 \% |
| Restructuring and related charges and credits |  | $(18,046)(4)$ | 1,047 | (5) $(1,823.6)$ | \% | (6.3)\% | 0.3 \% |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | $(24,698)$ | 18,350 | (234.6) | \% | (8.6)\% | 5.8 \% |

Depreciation by Segment

| Mattress Fabrics | \$ | 3,635 | 3,753 | (3.1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upholstery Fabrics |  | 9,227 | 9,889 | (6.7) | \% |
| Subtotal |  | 12,862 | 13,642 | (5.7) | \% |
| Accelerated Depreciation |  | 6,022 | 0 | 100.0 | \% |
| Total Depreciation | \$ | 18,884 | 13,642 | 38.4 | \% |

(1) The $\$ 7.6$ million represents restructuring related charges of $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory write-downs.
(2) The $\$ 113,000$ represents accelerated depreciation.
(3) The $\$ 5.1$ million represents goodwill impairment charge related to the Culp Decorative Fabrics division within the upholstery fabrics segment.
(4) The $\$ 18.0$ million represents $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation,
$\$ 5.7$ million for write-downs of buildings and equipment, $\$ 2.5$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 2.2$ million related to termination benefits, and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory markdowns. Of this total charge, $\$ 10.4$ million, $\$ 7.6$ million, and $\$ 113,000$ was included in restructuring expense, cost of sales, and selling, general, and administrative expenses, respectively.
(5) The $\$ 1.0$ million restructuring credit represents adjustment of accrued employee benefit and other plant closing costs related to the shutdown of the Chattanooga, TN and Lumberton, NC operations.

The company's net sales for fiscal 2006 decreased $8.9 \%$ to $\$ 261.1$ million compared to $\$ 286.5$ million for fiscal 2005. The company reported a net loss of $\$ 11.8$ million, or $\$ 1.02$ per share diluted, compared to a net loss of $\$ 17.9$ million, or $\$ 1.55$ per share diluted in fiscal 2005. Restructuring and related charges of $\$ 17.9$ million were included in the net loss for fiscal 2006. In addition, restructuring and related charges of $\$ 18.0$ million and goodwill impairment of $\$ 5.1$ million were included in the net loss for fiscal 2005.

During fiscal 2006, the company implemented two major restructuring initiatives, the September 2005-Upholstery Fabrics and August 2005-Upholstery Fabric plans. A detailed explanation of the significant restructuring plans is presented in the "Restructuring and Related Charges" section below. The total charges incurred for all of the company's restructuring plans were $\$ 17.9$ million. Of the total charges, $\$ 10.3$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, $\$ 4.6$ million was recorded in cost of sales, and $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

Restructuring and Related Charges
Fiscal 2006
September 2005-Upholstery Fabrics: On September 27, 2005, the company's board of directors approved a strategic alliance with Synthetics Finishing, a division of TSG Incorporated, to provide finishing services to the company for its domestically produced decorative upholstery fabrics and collaborate with the company on research and product development activities. As a result, the company closed its finishing plant in Burlington, NC, thereby reducing the number of associates by approximately 100 people.

During fiscal 2006, total restructuring and related charges incurred were \$1.4 million, of which $\$ 533,000$ related to employee termination benefits, $\$ 419,000$ related to asset movement costs, $\$ 238,000$ related to accelerated depreciation, $\$ 177,000$ related to write-downs of equipment, and $\$ 10,000$ related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facility. Of the total charge, $\$ 1.1$ million was recorded in restructuring expense and $\$ 245,000$ was recorded in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

August 2005-Upholstery Fabrics: In August 2005, the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment designed to reduce the company's U.S. yarn manufacturing operations. The company sold its polypropylene yarn extrusion equipment (with a book value of $\$ 2.3$ million) located in Graham, NC to American Fibers and Yarns Company, the company's supplier for polypropylene yarn, for $\$ 1.1$ million payable in cash. Pursuant to terms of the sale agreement, the company has a long-term supply contract with American Fibers and Yarns Company to continue to provide the company with polypropylene yarn at prices tied to a published index.

The company's board of directors also approved further reductions in the company's yarn operations by closing the company's facility in Shelby, NC and consolidating the yarn operations into the Lincolnton, NC facility. The company is outsourcing the open-end yarns previously produced at the Shelby, NC facility. As a result, the company has one yarn plant in Lincolnton, NC for producing wrap-spun yarns and a small texturizing yarn operation in Graham, NC. Overall, these actions reduced the number of associates by approximately 100 people.

During fiscal 2006, total restructuring and related charges incurred were \$5.5 million, of which $\$ 2.6$ million related to write-downs of building and equipment, $\$ 1.2$ million related to accelerated depreciation, $\$ 567,000$ related to employee termination benefits, \$565,000 related to inventory markdowns, \$394,000 related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facility, $\$ 175,000$ related to asset movement costs, and $\$ 11,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 3.4$ million was recorded in restructuring expense and $\$ 2.1$ million was recorded in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

April 2005-Upholstery Fabrics: In April 2005, the board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment designed to reduce costs, increase asset utilization, and improve profitability. The restructuring plan included consolidation of the company's two velvet fabrics manufacturing operations, fixed manufacturing cost reductions in the decorative fabrics operation, and significant reductions in selling, general, and administrative expenses within the upholstery fabrics segment. Another element of the restructuring plan was a substantial reduction in raw material and finished goods stock keeping units or SKUs, to simplify manufacturing processes, increase productivity and reduce inventories. The company relocated velvet production equipment from the manufacturing facility in Burlington, NC, to its other velvet plant in Anderson, SC, resulting in significant reductions of fixed manufacturing costs. The Burlington, NC facility is currently being utilized as an inspection and distribution facility for fabrics imported from offshore sources and for finished goods warehousing of domestically produced upholstery fabrics. Also, the company combined its sales, design, and customer service activities within the upholstery fabrics segment. As a result, on June 30, 2005 the company sold two buildings, both located in Burlington, NC, consisting of approximately 140,000 square feet for proceeds of $\$ 2,850,000$. These initiatives significantly reduced the company's selling, general, and administrative expenses. Overall, these restructuring actions have reduced the number of associates by 350 people.

During fiscal 2006, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 8.8$ million, of which approximately $\$ 3.5$ million related to accelerated depreciation, $\$ 2.3$ million related to write-downs of equipment, $\$ 1.5$ million related to inventory markdowns, $\$ 557,000$ related to asset movement costs, $\$ 529,000$ related to employee termination benefits primarily from headcount reductions within the upholstery fabrics segment, and $\$ 435,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 3.7$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, $\$ 2.1$ million was recorded in cost of sales, and $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

During fiscal 2005, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 7.1$ million, of which approximately $\$ 4.3$ million related to write-downs of building and equipment, $\$ 1.9$ million related to employee termination benefits, $\$ 874,000$ related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns, and $\$ 47,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 6.2$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, $\$ 761,000$ was recorded in cost of sales, and $\$ 113,000$ was recorded in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

October 2004-Upholstery Fabrics: In October 2004, the board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment aimed at reducing costs, increasing asset utilization and improving profitability. Due to continued pressure on demand in this segment, management decided to adjust the company's cost structure and bring U.S. manufacturing capacity in line with current and expected demand. The restructuring plan principally involved consolidation of the company's decorative fabrics weaving operations by closing Culp's facility in Pageland, $S C$, and consolidating those operations into the Graham, NC facility. Additionally, the company consolidated its yarn operations by integrating the production of the Cherryville, NC plant into the company's Shelby, NC facility. Another element of the restructuring plan was a substantial reduction in certain raw material and finished goods stock keeping units, or SKUs, to reduce manufacturing complexities and lower costs, with the ongoing objective of identifying and eliminating products that were not generating acceptable volumes or margins. These initiatives significantly reduced the company's selling, general, and administrative expenses. Overall, these restructuring actions reduced the number of associates by approximately 250 people.

During fiscal 2006, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 2.4$ million, of which approximately $\$ 1.3$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 1.0$ million related to write-downs of equipment, $\$ 88,000$ related to employee termination benefits to reflect current estimates of future health care claims, $\$ 52,000$ related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facilities, and $\$ 3,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 2.3$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, and $\$ 52,000$ in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

During fiscal 2005, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 16.3$ million, of which approximately $\$ 6.8$ million related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns, $\$ 5.1$ million of goodwill impairment, which represented all of the remaining goodwill associated with the upholstery fabrics segment, $\$ 2.4$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 1.3$ million related to write-downs of buildings and equipment, and $\$ 722,000$ related to employee termination benefits. Of the total charge, $\$ 4.4$ million was recorded in restructuring expense and $\$ 6.8$ million was recorded in cost of sales in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

Segment Analysis
Mattress Fabrics Segment
Net Sales -- For fiscal 2006, the mattress fabrics segment reported net sales of $\$ 93.7$ million compared with $\$ 105.4$ million for fiscal 2005. Mattress fabrics sales represented approximately $36 \%$ of total sales for fiscal 2006, down from $37 \%$ in fiscal 2005. Mattress ticking yards sold during fiscal 2006 were 41.5 million compared with 45.0 million yards sold in fiscal 2005, a decrease of $7.6 \%$. This trend reflects the overall softness in industry sales for mattresses, a decline in demand for printed ticking, which has become a less popular category, and the industry-wide shortage of polyurethane foam used by mattress manufacturers related to disruptions from the hurricane activity in the Gulf Coast in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The average selling price for fiscal 2006 was $\$ 2.26$ compared with $\$ 2.33$ in fiscal 2005 . The lower pricing trend reflects the ongoing shift mattress manufacturers are making to less expensive common border ticking, which is the fabric that goes on the side of mattresses and box springs. While prices in all product lines have continued to trend lower, the product mix began to change throughout fiscal 2006 with a higher percentage of sales of knitted ticking, which has a higher average selling price. The company expects knitted ticking to account for an increasingly higher percentage of ticking sales as demand for this category is expected to increase.

Operating income -- For fiscal 2006, the mattress fabrics segment reported operating income of $\$ 6.9$ million, or $7.3 \%$ of sales, compared with $\$ 9.4$ million, or $8.9 \%$ of sales, for fiscal 2005. During fiscal 2006, operating income was affected by duty assessments from U.S. customs totaling \$864,000, higher raw material prices that were not offset by customer surcharges (price increases), and manufacturing variances related to the start-up of the $\$ 7.0$ million capital project in the first and second quarters of fiscal 2006.

Starting in fiscal 2005, the company took aggressive steps to address the strategic challenges facing its business in this segment. The company reduced operating costs by consolidating its mattress ticking operations. The \$7.0 million capital project involved relocating ticking looms from a higher cost upholstery fabric plant to existing ticking facilities in the U. S. and Canada and the purchase of new weaving machines that are faster and more efficient than the equipment they replaced. This transition was completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Second, to partially offset higher material costs, the company implemented a price increase of approximately three percent in this segment during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. Also, the company placed more design emphasis on new products with higher margins. As a result of these actions, operating income increased from $5.9 \%$ in the first quarter, to $6.9 \%$ in the second quarter, to $7.9 \%$ in the third quarter, and to $8.4 \%$ in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

Segment Assets-- Segment assets consist of accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment. As of April 30, 2006, accounts receivable and inventory totaled $\$ 21.2$ million, compared to $\$ 25.0$ million at the end of fiscal 2005. Also as of April 30, 2006, property, plant and equipment totaled $\$ 25.4$ million, compared to $\$ 26.7$ million at the end of fiscal 2005. Included in property, plant and equipment are assets located in the U. S. totaling \$12.9 million and $\$ 12.2$ million at April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, respectively.

Upholstery Fabrics Segment
Net Sales -- Upholstery fabric sales for fiscal 2006 were $\$ 167.4$ million, down 8\% to \$181.1 million for fiscal 2005. Upholstery fabrics sales represented approximately 64\% of total sales for fiscal 2006, up from 63\% in fiscal 2005. Upholstery fabric yards sold during fiscal 2006 were 39.7 million versus 43.2 million in fiscal 2005, a decline of $8.2 \%$. Average selling price was $\$ 4.22$ per yard for fiscal 2006 compared with $\$ 4.19$ per yard in fiscal 2005. The lower sales reflect continued soft demand industry-wide for U.S. produced fabrics, driven by consumer preference for leather and suede furniture and other imported fabrics, including an increasing amount of cut and sewn kits. However, in fiscal 2006, the company continued to source and market upholstery fabrics produced internationally, primarily in China. These measures are part of the company's continuing efforts to meet consumer preferences for certain types of fabrics, as well as to serve the growing segment of the company's customer base that is establishing or expanding furniture production in international areas. As a result of the company's production and offshore sourcing efforts, including its China platform, the company is experiencing higher sales of upholstery fabric products produced outside of the company's U. S. manufacturing plants. For fiscal 2006, these sales increased $89 \%$ from fiscal 2005 and accounted for $\$ 59.2$ million, or $35 \%$ of upholstery fabric sales, in fiscal 2006. Sales of fabric produced offshore of $\$ 31.3$ million accounted for $17 \%$ of upholstery fabric sales for fiscal 2005. The growth in offshore produced fabrics is a trend that is expected to continue.

A major component of the company's offshore business is its China operation, which began manufacturing operations during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004. This initiative involves a strategy to link the company's existing customer relationships, design expertise and production technology with low-cost fabric manufacturing in China, while continuing to maintain high quality standards. The company is currently leasing three facilities in the Shanghai region of China, where fabrics sourced in China are inspected and tested to assure compliance with the company's quality standards before shipment to its customers. In many cases, additional value-added finishing steps are applied to the fabrics in China before shipment. The company's offshore business represents a significant growth opportunity in an increasing global furniture and fabrics market place. The company's U. S. customers have continued to move an increasing amount of their fabric purchases, including cut and sewn kits, to China, and the company is in position to meet their fabric needs.

Operating Loss -- Operating loss for fiscal 2006 was $\$ 954,000$, or $0.6 \%$ of sales, compared with an operating loss of $\$ 6.4$ million, or $3.6 \%$ of sales, for fiscal 2005. These results reflect continued growth in sales and profits of non-U.S. produced fabrics, lower U.S. manufacturing fixed costs and variances, and lower selling, general, and administrative expenses. Since the beginning of fiscal 2006, the company has revamped its U.S. upholstery fabric product strategy by offering a more select group of attractively priced, high volume decorative and velvet fabrics that are well packaged by color and coordination, utilizing an increasing amount of lower cost imported yarns. Over this time period, the company has significantly reduced stock keeping units, or SKUs, of lower volume products that do not fit the company's U.S. operating model, including the discontinuation of the U.S. produced printed upholstery fabrics. As a result, the company has reduced its product complexity going forward. Along with this shift in product strategy, the company has taken aggressive steps since the beginning of fiscal 2006 to reduce its U.S. manufacturing costs and capacity and employment levels. The company consolidated two velvet manufacturing operations
and consolidated its finished goods distribution and design centers. Also, the company closed two of its three yarn manufacturing plants and outsourced these yarns to current suppliers. In addition, the company completed the outsourcing for its decorative fabrics finishing operation and closed this plant at the end of the fiscal year. The company has also combined its sales, design, and customer service activities for two divisions that were formerly operated separately within the upholstry fabrics segment, resulting in a more unified approach to service its customers. As a result of these activities, the company has reduced selling, general, and administrative expenses for the upholstery fabrics segment by $32 \%$ for fiscal 2006.

Non-U.S. Produced Sales - Sales of upholstery fabrics produced outside the company's U.S. manufacturing operations, including fabrics produced at our China facility, were up 89\% in fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005 and accounted for approximately 35\% of upholstery fabric sales for fiscal 2006. Management believes that the development of its China platform represents a significant growth opportunity for the company. As the company's U.S. customers have continued to move an increasing amount of their fabric purchases to Asia, the company has moved with them and responded with an operation designed to meet their needs. A key component of this platform is the fabric finishing and inspection facility located near Shanghai. A key element of the the company's strategy is to control the value-added finishing and inspection process, thereby assuring customers that the company's fabrics will meet or exceed U.S. quality standards.
U.S. Produced Sales - As previously discussed, management has continued to take very aggressive actions over the past year to bring U.S. manufacturing costs and capacity in line with current and expected demand trends. As a result of these activities, the company now has three U.S. manufacturing facilities operating in the upholstery fabrics segment - one for velvet fabrics, one for decorative fabrics, and one for specialty yarns. As of April 30, 2006, the book value of the company's U.S. based upholstery fabrics fixed assets is $\$ 9.8$ million, compared with approximately $\$ 32$ million at the end of fiscal 2005. As of April 30, 2006, the company had assets held for sale with a carrying value of $\$ 3.1$ million. These assets held for sale are expected to be sold in fiscal 2007 for this amount. Total U.S. employment in the company's upholstery fabric operations was 660 at the end of fiscal 2006 compared with 1404 at the end of fiscal 2005. This reconfiguration reflects the company's goal to be a more market driven company with fewer fixed assets and also substantially reduces our risk level going forward.

While management believes it is important to produce some level of upholstery fabric in the U.S. to support its customers' domestic fabric requirements, management remains committed to take additional steps if necessary to address the profitability of the company's U.S. upholstery fabric operations. The company could experience additional write-downs of its property, plant, and equipment in this business if further restructuring actions become necessary.

Segment Assets -- Segment assets consist of accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment. As of April 30, 2006, accounts receivable and inventory totaled $\$ 44.6$ million, compared to $\$ 54.4$ million at the end of fiscal 2005. Also as of April 30, 2006, property, plant and equipment totaled $\$ 19.2$ million, compared to $\$ 39.3$ million at the end of fiscal 2005. Included in property, plant and equipment are assets located in the U. S. totaling \$9.8 million and $\$ 32.0$ million for April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, respectively. These balances exclude various other corporate allocations totaling $\$ 4.1$ million and $\$ 4.2$ million at April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, respectively.

Other Corporate Expenses
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses - Selling, general, and administrative expenses of $\$ 29.0$ million for fiscal 2006 decreased $\$ 6.4$ million, or 18.1\%, from fiscal 2005. As a percent of net sales, SG\&A expenses decreased to $11.1 \%$ from $12.3 \%$ in fiscal 2005. Included in the $\$ 29.0$ million was $\$ 3.0$ million in accelerated depreciation associated with the company's design and distribution centers sold in June 2005. The $26.4 \%$ decrease to the remaining $\$ 26.0$ million for fiscal 2006 compared to $\$ 35.4$ million for fiscal 2005 was primarily due to significant cost reductions resulting from restructuring activities in the upholstery fabrics segment.

Interest Expense (Income) -- Interest expense for fiscal 2006 increased to $\$ 4.0$ million from $\$ 3.7$ million in fiscal 2005 due to the addition of a real estate loan in October of 2005. Interest income decreased to $\$ 126,000$ from $\$ 134,000$ in fiscal 2005 due to lower invested balances in fiscal 2006.

Income Taxes -- The effective income tax rate (taxes as a percentage of loss before income taxes for fiscal 2006 and 2005) was $40.7 \%$ in fiscal 2006 compared with $38.0 \%$ for fiscal 2005. The increase in the effective income tax rate reflects losses from the company's U.S. operations combined with lower income tax rates on income from foreign sources.

As of April 30, 2006, the company had net deferred income tax assets of $\$ 27.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 10.1$ million over net deferred income tax assets of $\$ 17.1$ million recorded as of May 1, 2005. This increase results primarily from the federal and state tax benefits recorded for the loss from U. S. operations for fiscal 2006 (see note 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

2005 compared with 2004
The company's net sales for fiscal 2005 decreased $10 \%$ to $\$ 286.5$ million; and the company reported a net loss of $\$ 17.9$ million, or $\$ 1.55$ per share diluted, versus net income of $\$ 7.2$ million, or $\$ 0.61$ per share diluted, in fiscal 2004. Restructuring and related charges of $\$ 18.1$ million and goodwill impairment of $\$ 5.1$ million were included in the net loss for fiscal 2005. In addition, restructuring credits of $\$ 1.0$ million and an early extinguishment of debt charge of $\$ 1.7$ million were included in net income for fiscal 2004.

Mattress Fabrics Segment
Net Sales -- For fiscal 2005, the mattress fabrics segment reported sales of $\$ 105.4$ million compared with $\$ 106.3$ million for fiscal 2004. Mattress fabrics sales represented approximately $37 \%$ of total sales for fiscal 2005, up from 33\% in fiscal 2004. Mattress ticking yards sold during fiscal 2005 were 45.0 million compared with 43.0 million yards sold in fiscal 2004, an increase of $4.5 \%$. This increase in yards sold was noteworthy because it occurred as the bedding industry completed the transition to selling predominantly one-sided mattresses, which utilize about one-third less mattress ticking. The average selling price for mattress ticking was $\$ 2.33$ per yard compared to $\$ 2.45$ per yard in fiscal 2004, a decrease of $5.0 \%$.

Operating income -- For fiscal 2005, the mattress fabrics segment reported operating income of $\$ 9.4$ million, or $8.9 \%$ of sales, compared with $\$ 15.0$ million, or 14.1\% of sales, for fiscal 2004. During fiscal 2005, operating income was affected by industry wide pricing pressure, as well as higher raw material costs due primarily to the increased cost of petroleum based products. In addition to these pressures, operating income was affected by lower margins on closeout sales and manufacturing variances related to the relocation of mattress ticking looms.

Segment Assets-- Segment assets consist of accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment. As of May 1, 2005, accounts receivable and inventory totaled $\$ 25.0$ million, compared to $\$ 24.6$ million at the end of fiscal 2004. Also as of May 1, 2005, property, plant and equipment totaled $\$ 26.7$ million, compared to $\$ 23.1$ million at the end of fiscal 2004. Included in property, plant and equipment were assets located in the U.S. totaling \$12.2 million and $\$ 9.8$ million at May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, respectively.

Net Sales -- Upholstery fabric sales for fiscal 2005 decreased $\$ 30.7$ million, or $14.5 \%$, to $\$ 181.1$ million. Upholstery fabric yards sold during fiscal 2005 were 42.4 million versus 49.6 million in fiscal 2004, a decline of $14.5 \%$. Average selling price was $\$ 4.19$ per yard for fiscal 2005 compared with $\$ 4.20$ per yard in fiscal 2004. The lower sales dollars and yards reflected continued soft demand industry wide for U.S. produced fabrics, as a result of consumer preferences for leather and suede furniture and growing competition from imported fabrics and cut and sewn kits, primarily from China.

In fiscal 2005, the company implemented several initiatives to source and market upholstery fabrics produced internationally, primarily in Asia. As a result of the company's production and offshore sourcing efforts, including the China platform, the company experienced higher sales of upholstery fabric products produced outside of the company's U.S. manufacturing plants. For fiscal 2005, these sales doubled over the prior year and accounted for approximately $\$ 31.3$ million or $17 \%$ of upholstery fabric sales in fiscal 2005. Sales of fabric produced offshore of $\$ 15.6$ million accounted for approximately $7 \%$ of upholstery fabric sales for fiscal 2004.

Operating income (loss) -- Operating loss for fiscal 2005 was $\$ 6.4$ million or $3.6 \%$ of sales, compared with operating income of $\$ 6.8$ million, or $3.2 \%$ of sales, for fiscal 2004. The decrease in segment operating income as compared to fiscal 2004 was primarily due to underutilization of the company's U.S. manufacturing capacity and manufacturing variances related to restructuring activities. Additionally, the upholstery fabrics segment experienced higher raw material costs in fiscal 2005 due mainly to the increase in cost of petroleum based products.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the company's supplier for acrylic fiber, Solutia, exited the acrylic fiber business. In response to this event, the company identified certain international suppliers as alternative sources for procuring acrylic fiber. However, in transitioning away from using Solutia, the company incurred higher fiber costs and increased inventory levels. To partially offset higher raw material prices, the company implemented a price increase of approximately three to four percent on domestically produced upholstery fabrics.

Segment Assets -- Segment assets consist of accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment. As of May 1, 2005, accounts receivable and inventory totaled $\$ 54.4$ million, compared to $\$ 55.1$ million at the end of fiscal 2004. Also as of May 1, 2005, property, plant and equipment totaled \$39.3 million, compared to $\$ 54.6$ million at the end of fiscal 2004. Included in property, plant and equipment were assets located in the U.S. totaling $\$ 32.5$ million and $\$ 51.5$ million for May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, respectively. The total of $\$ 32.5$ million excludes allocations of various other corporate allocations totaling $\$ 4.2$ million.

Other Corporate Expenses
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses -- SG\&A expenses of $\$ 35.4$ million for fiscal 2005 decreased $\$ 5.7$ million, or 13.8\%, from fiscal 2004. As a percent of net sales, SG\&A expenses decreased to $12.3 \%$ from $12.9 \%$ in fiscal 2004, due mostly to lower incentive compensation expense and significant cost reductions, mainly in the sales and marketing expense areas. The $13.8 \%$ spending decrease was achieved despite significantly higher professional fees, which included significant expenses incurred to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Interest Expense (Income) -- Interest expense for fiscal 2005 declined to $\$ 3.7$ million from $\$ 5.5$ million in fiscal 2004 due to lower borrowings outstanding. Interest income decreased to $\$ 134,000$ from $\$ 376,000$ in fiscal 2004 due to lower invested balances in fiscal 2005.

Income Taxes -- The effective tax rate (taxes as a percentage of pretax income (loss)) for fiscal 2005 was $38.0 \%$ compared with $33.0 \%$ for fiscal 2004.

As of May 1, 2005, the company had net deferred income tax assets of \$17.1 million, an increase of $\$ 12.0$ million over net deferred income tax assets of $\$ 5.1$ million recorded at the fiscal year ended May 2, 2004. This increase resulted primarily from the federal and state tax benefits recorded for the loss from U.S. operations for fiscal 2005.

Handling Costs
The company records warehousing costs in selling, general and administrative expenses. These costs were $\$ 4.2$ million, $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 4.6$ million in fiscal 2006, fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, respectively. Warehousing costs include the operating expenses of the company's various finished goods distribution centers, such as personnel costs, utilities, building rent and material handling equipment lease expense. Had these costs been included in cost of sales, gross profit would have been $\$ 19.7$ million, or $7.5 \%$, in fiscal 2006, $\$ 21.8$ million, or 7.6\%, in fiscal 2005, and \$53.7 million, or 16.8\%, in fiscal 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources
The company's sources of liquidity include cash and cash equivalents, cash flow from operations and amounts available under its revolving credit line. These sources have been adequate for day-to-day operations and capital expenditures. The company believes its sources of liquidity continue to be adequate to meet its current needs. Cash and cash equivalents as of April 30, 2006 increased to $\$ 9.7$ million from $\$ 5.1$ million at the end of fiscal 2005 . The company improved cash flow from operations to $\$ 10.3$ million in fiscal 2006 compared with $\$ 4.0$ million in fiscal 2005. In addition, the company received cash proceeds from the sale of buildings and equipment as part of the company's restructuring activities of $\$ 4.0$ million, had capital expenditures and payments on vendor financed capital expenditures of $\$ 7.2$ million, payments of long-term debt of $\$ 7.8$ million, proceeds from a real estate loan of $\$ 4.3$ million, proceeds from a loan with the Canadian government of $\$ 680,000$, and proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$369,000.

## Working Capital

Accounts receivable as of April 30, 2006, increased 0.8\% from May 1, 2005.
Days sales outstanding totaled 41 and 37 days at April 30, 2006, and May 1, 2005, respectively. Inventories at the end of the fiscal year decreased $27 \%$ from a year ago, primarily in the upholstery fabrics segment. Inventory turns for the year were 5.4 versus 5.2 for the year-earlier period. The accounts payable balance as of April 30, 2006, decreased $8.8 \%$ from May 1, 2005. Operating working capital (comprised of accounts receivable and inventories, less trade accounts payable) was $\$ 44.9$ million at April 30, 2006, down from $\$ 56.5$ million at May 1, 2005.

Financing Arrangements
Unsecured Term Notes
The company's unsecured term notes have a fixed interest rate of $7.76 \%$ (payable semi-annually in March and September) and are payable over an average remaining term of three years beginning March 2007 through March 2010. The principal payments are required to be paid in annual installments over the next four years as follows: 2007 - $\$ 7.5$ million; 2008 - $\$ 19.9$ million; $2009-\$ 7.5$ million; and 2010-\$7.5 million. The company made its first annual principal payment installment of \$7.5 million on March 15, 2006.

## Real Estate Loan

In October 2005, the company entered into an agreement with its bank to provide for a term loan in the amount of $\$ 4.3$ million secured by a lien on the company's headquarters office located in High Point, NC. This term loan bears interest at the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus and adjustable margin based on the company's debt/EBITDA ratio as defined in the agreement and is payable in varying monthly installments through September 2010, with a final payment of $\$ 3.3$ million in October 2010.

## Revolving Credit Agreement

In August of 2005, the company amended its credit agreement with its bank to provide for a revolving loan commitment of $\$ 8.0$ million, including letters of credit up to $\$ 5.5$ million. Borrowings under the credit facility bears interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate plus an adjustable margin based on the company's debt/EBITDA ratio as defined in the agreement. As of April 30, 2006, there were $\$ 4.0$ million in outstanding letters of credit and no borrowings outstanding under the agreement.

On December 7, 2005, the company entered into a Seventh Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement requires the company to maintain collected deposit balances of at least $\$ 3.0$ million after March 15, 2006. Additionally, this amendment reduced the minimum EBITDA covenant for the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006.

On March 8, 2006, the company entered into an Eighth Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement raised the company's capital expenditures limit to $\$ 6.5$ million for the year ending April 30, 2006.

On July 20, 2006, the company entered into a Ninth Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement extended the expiration date of the agreement from August 31, 2006 to August 31, 2007, limits annual capital expenditures to $\$ 2.5$ million for fiscal 2007, and requires the company to maintain collected deposit balances of at least $\$ 2$ million. The amended agreement also requires the company to maintain certain other financial covenants as defined in the agreement.

## Canadian Government Loans

In November 2005, the company entered into an agreement with the Canadian government to provide for a term loan in the amount of $\$ 680,000$. The proceeds are to partially finance capital expenditures at the company's Rayonese facility located in Quebec, Canada. This loan is non-interest bearing and is payable in 48 equal monthly installments commencing December 1, 2009. In addition to the term loan entered into in November 2005, the company had an existing non-interest bearing term loan with the Canadian government which was paid in May 2006.

The following table summarizes the company's contractual payment obligations and commitments (in thousands):

|  |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | after |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts payable capital expenditures | \$ | 1,449 | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,449 |
| Operating leases (1) |  | 3,362 |  | 2,198 |  | 873 |  | 150 |  | 40 |  | 17 |  | 6,640 |
| Long-term debt-interest |  | 3,549 |  | 2,838 |  | 1,390 |  | 787 |  | 131 |  | - |  | 8,695 |
| Long-term debt principal |  | 8,060 |  | 20,046 |  | 7,757 |  | 7,830 |  | 3,552 |  | 477 |  | 47,722 |
| Total | \$ | 16,420 |  | 26,082 |  | 10,020 | \$ | 8,767 | \$ | 3,723 | \$ | 494 |  | 65,506 |

Note: Payment Obligations by Fiscal Year Ending April
(1) Includes accrued restructuring expenses for the company's inactive Chattanooga manufacturing facility of $\$ 869$ for fiscal 2007 and 2008 and other leases of $\$ 139$ and $\$ 26$ for fiscal 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Capital Expenditures
Capital spending for fiscal 2006 was $\$ 6.5$ million, including $\$ 942,000$ that is the non-cash portion of capital expenditures representing vendor financing. Depreciation for fiscal 2006 was $\$ 14.4$ million, of which approximately $\$ 5.0$ million was related to accelerated depreciation. The company's capital spending plans for fiscal 2007 are not expected to exceed $\$ 2.0$ million. The company estimates depreciation to be $\$ 7.0$ million for fiscal 2007, with $\$ 3.6$ million, $\$ 2.9$ million, and $\$ 500,000$ in the mattress fabrics segment, upholstery fabrics segment, and unallocated corporate, respectively.

## Inflation

The cost of certain of the company's raw materials, principally fibers from petroleum derivatives, and utility/energy costs, increased during fiscal 2006 as oil and other energy prices increased and had an impact on the company's financial results. Any significant increase in the company's raw material costs, utility/energy costs and general economic inflation could have a material adverse impact on the company, because competitive conditions have limited the company's ability to pass significant operating cost increases on to its customers. See "Risk Factors."

Critical Accounting Policies
U. S. generally accepted accounting principles require the company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Some of these estimates require difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, and as a result actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Due to the estimation processes involved, management considers the following summarized accounting policies and their application to be critical to understanding the company's business operations, financial condition and results of operations.

Accounts Receivable - Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Substantially all of the company's accounts receivable are due from residential and commercial furniture and bedding manufacturers. Ownership of these manufacturers is increasingly concentrated and certain bedding manufacturers have a high degree of leverage. As of April 30, 2006, accounts receivable from furniture manufacturers totaled approximately $\$ 19.4$ million, and from bedding manufacturers approximately $\$ 9.6$ million. Additionally, as of April 30, 2006, the aggregate accounts receivable balance of the company's ten largest customers was $\$ 8.6$ million, or $29.7 \%$ of trade accounts receivable.

The company continuously performs credit evaluations of its customers, considering numerous inputs including customers' financial position, past payment history, cash flows and management capability; historical loss experience; and economic conditions and prospects. Once evaluated, each customer is assigned a credit grade. Credit grades are adjusted as warranted. Significant management judgment and estimates must be used in connection with establishing the reserve for allowance for doubtful accounts. While management believes that adequate allowances for doubtful accounts have been provided in the consolidated financial statements, it is possible that the company could experience additional unexpected credit losses.

Inventory Valuation. The company operates as a "make-to-order" and "make-to-stock" business. Although management closely monitors demand in each product area to decide which patterns and styles to hold in inventory, the increasing availability of low cost imports and the gradual shifts in consumer preferences expose the company to markdowns of inventory.

Management continually examines inventory to determine if there are indicators that the carrying value exceeds its net realizable value. Experience has shown that the most significant indicator of the need for inventory markdowns is the age of the inventory. As a result, the company provides inventory valuation markdowns based upon set percentages for inventory aging categories, generally using six, nine, twelve and fifteen month categories. While management believes that adequate markdowns for excess and obsolete inventory have been made in the consolidated financial statements, significant unanticipated changes in demand or changes in consumer tastes and preferences could result in additional excess and obsolete inventory in the future.

Long-lived Assets. The company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS No. 144 establishes an impairment accounting model for long-lived assets to be held and used, disposed of by sale, or disposed of by abandonment or other means.

Management reviews long-lived assets, which consists of property, plant and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recovered. Unforeseen events and changes in circumstances and market conditions could negatively affect the value of assets and result in an impairment charge.

In fiscal 2006, the company prepared an impairment evaluation on its upholstery fabrics segment due to continued adverse business results requiring further restructuring. The company's assessment indicated that the net undiscounted future operating cash flows of the segment was sufficient to recover the carrying amount of the long-lived assets to be held and used.

The determination of future operating cash flows involves considerable estimation and judgment about future market conditions, future sales and profitability, and future asset utilization. Although the company believes it has based the impairment testing on reasonable estimates and assumptions, the use of different estimates and assumptions, or a decision to dispose of substantial portions of these assets, could result in materially different results.

Goodwill. The company applies the provisions of SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which requires goodwill to no longer be amortized and that goodwill be tested annually for impairment by comparing each reporting unit's carrying value to its fair value. SFAS No. 142 also requires that, at least annually, goodwill be retested for impairment.

As of April 30, 2006, the company's remaining $\$ 4.1$ million of goodwill relates to the mattress fabrics segment.

In October 2004, due to lower than expected operating profits and cash flow for the second quarter and year-to-date for fiscal 2005 in the upholstery fabrics segment, management determined that the remaining goodwill associated with this segment should be tested for impairment. An independent business valuation specialist was engaged to assist the company in the valuation. As a result of this valuation, the company recorded in its second quarter of fiscal 2005 a goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 5.1$ million ( $\$ 3.2$ million net of taxes), or $\$ 0.28$ per share diluted.

The determination of fair value involves considerable estimation and judgment. In particular, determining the fair value of a business unit involves, among other things, developing forecasts of future cash flows and appropriate discount rates. Although the company believes it has based the impairment testing on reasonable estimates and assumptions, the use of different estimates and assumptions could result in materially different results.

Restructuring Charges. In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." Under SFAS 146, a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity shall be recognized and measured initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, except for certain employee termination benefits that qualify under SFAS No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits."

The upholstery fabric segment continues to be under significant pressure from a variety of external forces, such as the current consumer preference for leather and suede furniture and the growing competition from imported fabrics and cut and sewn kits. In an effort to reduce operating expenses and scale U. S. productive capacity in line with current and expected demand trends, the company has undertaken restructuring initiatives during the past several years. These restructuring initiatives have resulted in restructuring charges related to the remaining lease costs of the closed facilities, the write-down of property, plant and equipment, workforce reduction and elimination of facilities.

Severance and related charges are accrued at the date the restructuring was approved by the board of directors based on an estimate of amounts that will be paid to affected employees, in accordance with SFAS 112. Under SFAS 144, asset impairment charges related to the consolidation or closure of manufacturing facilities are based on an estimate of expected sales prices for the real estate and equipment. Other exit costs, which principally consist of charges for lease termination and losses from termination of existing contracts, equipment relocation costs and inventory markdowns that are related to the restructuring are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 146.

The company reassesses the individual accrual requirements at the end of each reporting period. If circumstances change, causing current estimates to differ from original estimates, adjustments are recorded in the period of change. Restructuring charges, and adjustments of those charges, are summarized in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Income Taxes. The company is required to estimate its actual current tax exposure and to assess temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. At April 30, 2006, the company had deferred tax assets of $\$ 31.4$ million (all of which are related to U. S. operations) and deferred tax liabilities of $\$ 4.1$ million, resulting in net deferred tax assets of $\$ 27.3$ million. The U. S. deferred tax liabilities total $\$ 2.2$ million (all of which reverse in the carry forward period), resulting in net U. S. deferred tax assets of $\$ 29.2$ million. No valuation allowance has been recorded to reduce the company's deferred tax assets. Management has concluded that it is more likely than not that the company will be able to realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets.

In making the judgment about the realization of the deferred tax assets, management has considered both negative and positive evidence, and concluded that sufficient positive evidence exists to overcome the cumulative losses experienced in recent years. Specifically, management considered the following, among other factors: nature of the company's products; history of positive earnings in the mattress fabrics segment; capital projects that have been completed to further enhance the company's globally competitive cost structure in the mattress fabrics segment; recent significant restructuring actions in the domestic upholstery fabrics business to adjust the domestic cost structure and bring U. S. manufacturing capacity in line with demand; and development of offshore manufacturing and sourcing programs to meet changing demands of upholstery fabric customers in the U. S. Management's analysis of taxable income also included the following considerations: none of the company's net operating loss carryforwards has previously expired unused; the U. S. federal carryforward period is 20 years; and the company's current losses principally expire in 16-20 years, fiscal 2022 through 2026.

Considerable judgment is involved in this process and the ultimate realization of benefits is dependent on the generation of taxable income from future U.S. operations.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards
In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs, and amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4," which clarifies the types of costs that should be expensed rather than capitalized as inventory. This statement also clarifies the circumstances under which fixed overhead costs associated with operating facilities involved in inventory processing should be capitalized. The provisions of SFAS No. 151 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and the company will adopt this standard in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The company does not expect there to be any material effect on its consolidated financial statements upon adoption of the new standard.

SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," issued in December 2004, is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and supercedes APB Opinion No.25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related implementation guidance. The statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The provisions of SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and the company will adopt this standard in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The company does not expect there to be any material effect on its consolidated financial statements upon adoption of the new standard.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations," (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," refers to a legal obligation to perform as asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of an entity. FIN 47 was effective for the company on April 30, 2006. The adoption of FIN 47 did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES
ABOUT MARKET RISK
The company is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on debt and foreign currency exchange rates. The company's market risk sensitive instruments are not entered into for trading purposes. The company's exposure to interest rate risk consists of floating rate debt based on the London Interbank Offered Rate plus an adjustable margin under the company's revolving credit agreement and real estate term loan. As of April 30, 2006, there were $\$ 4.2$ million in borrowings outstanding under the real estate term loan and no borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit agreement. In connection with the real estate term loan, the company entered into a $\$ 2,170,000$ notional principal interest rate swap agreement, which represents $50 \%$ of the principal amount on the real estate term loan, and effectively converts the floating rate LIBOR based payments to fixed payments at $4.99 \%$ plus the spread calculated under the real estate term loan agreement. The company's unsecured senior term notes have a fixed interest rate of $7.76 \%$ and the Canadian government loans are non-interest bearing. Additionally, approximately $91 \%$ of the company's long-term debt is at a fixed rate or is non-interest bearing. Thus, any foreseeable change in the interest rates would not expect to have a material effect on the company.

The company's exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates are due primarily to foreign subsidiaries domiciled in Canada and China. These subsidiaries use the United States dollar as its functional currency. The company generally does not use financial derivative instruments to hedge foreign currency exchange rate risks associated with their foreign subsidiaries. The amount of Canadian-denominated and Chinese-denominated net sales and manufacturing costs are not material to the company's consolidated results of operations; therefore a $10 \%$ change in the exchange rate at April 30, 2006 would not have a significant impact on the company's results of operations or financial position.

## ITEM 8. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

To the Shareholders of
Culp, Inc.
High Point, North Carolina

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officers, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on the Company's evaluation under that framework, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of April 30, 2006. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006 has been audited by KPMG LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their reports which are included herein.

Robert G. Culp, III
Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) July 20, 2006

Franklin N. Saxon
President and
Chief Operating Officer
(principal financial officer)
July 20, 2006

Kenneth R. Bowling
Vice President, Finance and
Treasurer
(principal accounting officer)
July 20, 2006

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Culp, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Culp, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Culp, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated July 20, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Culp, Inc.:
We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Culp, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Culp, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2006, and our report dated July 20, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.
/s/ KPMG LLP
Greensboro, North Carolina
July 20, 2006

| April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005 (dolla | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| current assets: |  |  |  |
| cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 9,714 | 5,107 |
| accounts receivable |  | 29,049 | 28,824 |
| inventories |  | 36,693 | 50,499 |
| deferred income taxes |  | 7,120 | 7,054 |
| assets held for sale |  | 3,111 | - |
| other current assets |  | 1,287 | 2,691 |
| total current assets |  | 86,974 | 94,175 |
| property, plant and equipment, net |  | 44,639 | 66, 032 |
| goodwill |  | 4,114 | 4,114 |
| deferred income taxes |  | 20,176 | 10,086 |
| other assets |  | 1,564 | 1,716 |
| total assets | \$ | 157,467 | 176,123 |


| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY current liabilities: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 8,060 | 8,110 |
| accounts payable |  | 20,835 | 22,852 |
| accrued expenses |  | 7,845 | 9,556 |
| accrued restructuring costs |  | 4,054 | 5,850 |
| income taxes payable |  | 2,488 | 1,544 |
| total current liabilities |  | 43,282 | 47,912 |
| long-term debt, less current maturities |  | 39,662 | 42,440 |
| total liabilities |  | 82,944 | 90,352 |


| commitments and contingencies (note 11) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shareholders' equity: |  |  |
| preferred stock, \$.05 par value, authorized 10,000,000 |  |  |
| common stock, \$.05 par value, authorized 40, 000, 000 |  |  |
| shares, issued and outstanding 11,654,959 at April |  |  |
| 30, 2006 and 11,550, 759 at May 1, 2005 | 584 | 579 |
| capital contributed in excess of par value | 40,350 | 39,964 |
| unearned compensation | - | (139) |
| retained earnings | 33,571 | 45,367 |
| accumulated other comprehensive income | 18 | - |
| total shareholders' equity | 74,523 | 85,771 |
| total liabilities and shareholders' equity \$ | \$ 157,467 | 176,123 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
For the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004

| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| net sales | \$ | 261, 101 | 286,498 | 318,116 |
| cost of sales |  | 237, 233 | 260, 341 | 259,794 |
| gross profit |  | 23,868 | 26,157 | 58,322 |
| selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 28,954 | 35,357 | 41,019 |
| goodwill impairment |  | - | 5,126 | - |
| restructuring expense (credit) and asset impairments |  | 10,273 | 10,372 | $(1,047)$ |
| income (loss) from operations |  | $(15,359)$ | $(24,698)$ | 18,350 |
| interest expense |  | 4,010 | 3,713 | 5,528 |
| interest income |  | (126) | (134) | (376) |
| early extinguishment of debt |  | - | - | 1,672 |
| other expense |  | 634 | 517 | 750 |
| income (loss) before income taxes |  | $(19,877)$ | $(28,794)$ | 10,776 |
| income tax expense (benefit) |  | $(8,081)$ | $(10,942)$ | 3,556 |
| net income (loss) | \$ | $(11,796)$ | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 |


| net income (loss) per share-basic | $\$(1.02)$ | $\$(1.55)$ | $\$ 0.63$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| net income (loss) per share-diluted | $\$(1.02)$ | $\$(1.55)$ | $\$ 0.61$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

| For the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004 | common stock shares |  | common stock amount | capital contributed in excess of par value | unearned compensation | retained earnings | accumulated other comprehensive income | total <br> shareholders' equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balance, April 27, 2003 | 11,515,459 | \$ | 576 | 39,749 | (559) | 55,999 | - | 95,765 |
| net income | - |  | - | - | - | 7,220 |  | 7,220 |
| stock-based compensation common stock issued in | - |  | - | - | 210 | - | - | 210 |
| common stock issued in connection with stock option plans | 31,175 |  | 2 | 194 | - | - | - | 196 |
| balance, May 2, 2004 | 11,546,634 |  | 578 | 39,943 | (349) | 63,219 | - | 103,391 |
| net loss | - |  | - | - | - | $(17,852)$ | - | $(17,852)$ |
| stock-based compensation common stock issued in | - |  | - | - | 210 | - | - | 210 |
| connection with stock option plans | 4,125 |  | 1 | 21 | - | - | - | 22 |
| balance, May 1, 2005 | 11,550,759 |  | 579 | 39,964 | (139) | 45,367 | - | 85,771 |
| net loss | - |  | - | - | - | $(11,796)$ | - | $(11,796)$ |
| stock-based compensation | - |  | - | - | 139 | (11,796) | - | 139 |
| gain on cash flow hedge, net of taxes | - |  | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 |
| common stock issued in connection with stock | 104,200 |  | 5 | 386 | - | - | - | 391 |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | 11,654,959 | \$ | 584 | 40,350 | - | 33,571 | 18 | 74,523 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

| For the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |
| net income (loss) \$ | \$ (11, 796 ) | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 |
| adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) (11,706) |  |  |  |
| to net cash |  |  |  |
| provided by operating activities: depreciation | 14,362 | 18,884 | 13,642 |
| amortization of other assets | 93 | 130 | 173 |
| stock-based compensation | 139 | 210 | 210 |
| goodwill impairment | - | 5,126 | - |
| deferred income taxes | $(10,156)$ | $(12,022)$ | 3,334 |
| restructuring expense (credit) | 6,582 | 6,690 | (183) |
| changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| accounts receivable | (225) | 1,895 | 1,540 |
| inventories | 13,806 | $(1,454)$ | 507 |
| other current assets | 1,404 | (969) | 1,482 |
| other assets | (44) | 67 | 607 |
| accounts payable | $(1,302)$ | 6,251 | (951) |
| accrued expenses | $(1,711)$ | $(3,560)$ | (955) |
| accrued restructuring | $(1,796)$ | 882 | $(2,775)$ |
| income taxes payable | 944 | (306) | 1,501 |
| net cash provided by operating |  |  | 25,352 |
| cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| capital expenditures | $(6,242)$ | $(11,448)$ | $(5,976)$ |
| proceeds from the sale of buildings and equipment | 3,950 | - | - |
| purchases of short-term investments | 3, | - | $(17,282)$ |
| proceeds from the sale of short-term |  |  |  |
| investments | - | - | 27,325 |
| net cash (used in) provided by |  |  |  |
| cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| payments on vendor-financed capital |  |  |  |
| expenditures | (942) | $(1,527)$ | $(3,932)$ |
| payments on long-term debt | $(7,848)$ | (480) | $(25,470)$ |
| proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | t 5,020 | - | ) |
| proceeds from common stock issued | 369 | 22 | 196 |
| net cash used in financing activities | $(3,401)$ | $(1,985)$ | $(29,206)$ |
| increase (decrease) in cash and cash |  |  |  |
| cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | $r$ 5,107 | 14,568 | 14,355 |
| cash and cash equivalents at end of year \$ | \$ 9,714 | 5,107 | 14,568 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

## 1. GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and its subsidiaries, which are wholly-owned. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Description of Business - The company manufactures and markets upholstery fabrics and mattress fabrics ("ticking") primarily for the furniture and bedding industries, with the majority of its business conducted in North America.

Fiscal Year - The company's fiscal year is the 52 or 53 week period ending on the Sunday closest to April 30. Fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 included 52, 52 and 53 weeks, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents include demand deposit and money market accounts. For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the company considers all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable - Substantially all of the company's accounts receivable are due from manufacturers in the furniture and bedding industries. The company grants credit to customers, a substantial number of which are located in North America and generally does not require collateral. Management continuously performs credit evaluations of its customers, considering numerous inputs including financial position, past payment history, cash flows, management ability, historical loss experience and economic conditions and prospects. The company does not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to its customers.

Inventories - Prior to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, principally all inventories were valued at the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, the company changed its method of accounting for inventories to the lower of first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost or market. The change in accounting principle was made to provide a better matching of revenue and expenses. Additionally, the change enables the financial reporting to parallel the way management assesses the financial and operational performance of the company's segments.

Management continually examines inventory to determine if there are indicators that the carrying value exceeds its net realizable value. Experience has shown that the most significant indicator of the need for inventory markdowns is the age of the inventory. As a result, the company provides inventory valuation write-downs based upon set percentages for inventory aging categories, generally using six, nine and twelve month categories.

Property, Plant and Equipment - Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation is generally computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. When properties are retired or otherwise disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. Amounts received on disposal less the book value of assets sold are charged or credited to income (loss).

Management reviews long-lived assets, which consist principally of property, plant and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recovered. Recoverability of long-lived assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of by sale are reported at the lower of the carrying value or fair value less cost to sell when the company has committed to a disposal plan, and are reported separately as assets held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets.

Interest costs of $\$ 62,000, \$ 212,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ incurred during the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, respectively, for the construction of qualifying fixed assets were capitalized and are being amortized over the related assets' estimated useful lives.

Foreign Currency Translation - The United States dollar is the functional currency for the company's Canadian and Chinese subsidiaries. Translation losses for the Canadian subsidiary of $\$ 460,000, \$ 158,000$ and $\$ 153,000$ are included in the other expense line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, respectively. A translation gain for the Chinese subsidiaries of $\$ 96,000$ was included in the other expense line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2006. There were no fluctuations in the Chinese RMB exchange rate for fiscal years ended May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, respectively.

Goodwill - The company applies the provisions of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which requires that goodwill no longer be amortized and that goodwill be tested for impairment by comparing each reporting unit's carrying value to its fair value. SFAS No. 142 also requires that, at least annually, goodwill be retested for impairment.

Due to continued adverse business conditions, the upholstery fabrics segment experienced operating profits and cash flows in the second quarter of 2005 significantly lower than expected. As a result, management determined that the goodwill associated with the segment should be tested for impairment in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. An independent business valuation specialist was engaged to assist the company in the determination of the fair market value of the Culp Decorative Fabrics division ("CDF") within the upholstery fabrics segment. The fair value of CDF, determined using several different methods, including comparable companies, comparable transactions, and discounted cash flow analysis, was less than the carrying value. Accordingly the company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 5.1$ million ( $\$ 3.2$ million net of taxes of $\$ 1.9$ million), or $\$ 0.28$ per share diluted in the second quarter of fiscal 2005, related to the goodwill associated with the upholstery fabrics segment. After this goodwill impairment charge, the company's remaining goodwill of $\$ 4.1$ million relates to the mattress fabrics segment.

The company updated its goodwill impairment test as of April 30, 2006 for its mattress fabrics segment. This updated impairment test, which was prepared by the company, did not indicate any impairment of goodwill. The determination of fair value involves considerable estimation and judgment. In particular, determining the fair value of a business unit involves, among other things, developing forecasts of future cash flows and appropriate discount rates.

Income Taxes - Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of the company's assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards at income tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. The effect on deferred income taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income (loss) in the period that includes the enactment date.

No provision is made for income taxes which may be payable if undistributed income of the company's foreign subsidiaries were to be paid as dividends to the company, since the company intends that such earnings will continue to be invested. The company has determined that no amounts will be remitted under the foreign earnings repatriation provision of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. At April 30, 2006, the amount of such undistributed income was $\$ 42.6$ million. Foreign tax credits may be available as a reduction of United States income taxes in the event of such distributions.

Revenue Recognition - Revenue is recognized upon shipment, when title and risk of loss pass to the customer. Provision is made currently for estimated product returns, claims and allowances. Management considers historical claims and return experience, among other things, when establishing the allowance for returns and allowances.

Shipping and Handling Costs - Revenue received for shipping and handling costs, which is immaterial for all periods presented, is included in net sales. Shipping costs, principally freight, that comprise payments to third-party shippers are classified as cost of sales. Handling costs, which consist principally of finished goods warehousing costs in the company's various distribution facilities, were $\$ 4.2$ million, $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 4.6$ million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Stock-Based Compensation - Compensation costs related to employee stock option plans are recognized utilizing the intrinsic value-based method prescribed by APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations. The company has adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148. Accordingly, compensation cost is recorded over the vesting period of the options based upon the difference in option price and fair market price at the date of grant, if any. The company's stock-based compensation plans are described more fully in note 12 to the consolidated financial statements.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and income (loss) per share if the company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 for the past three fiscal years:
(dollars in thousands, except per share data) 20062004
net income (loss), as reported
add: total stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income (loss), net of tax
\$ $(11,796)$
$83 \quad 132$
$132 \quad 141$
deduct: total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of tax

| pro forma net income (loss) | \$ | $(12,126)$ | $(18,226)$ | 6,905 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| income (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |
| basic - as reported | \$ | (1.02) | (1.55) | 0.63 |
| basic - pro forma |  | (1.05) | (1.58) | 0.60 |
| diluted - as reported | \$ | (1.02) | (1.55) | 0.61 |
| diluted - pro forma |  | (1.05) | (1.58) | 0.59 |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these financial instruments.

The fair value of the company's long-term debt is estimated by discounting the future cash flows at rates currently offered to the company for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities. At April 30, 2006, the carrying value of the company's long-term debt is $\$ 47.7$ million and the fair value is $\$ 46.4$ million. At May 1, 2005, the carrying value of the company's long-term debt is $\$ 50.6$ million and the fair value is $\$ 49.7$ million.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

A summary of accrued restructuring costs follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | April 30, 2006 |  | May 1, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2005 Upholstery fabrics | \$ | 439 | - |
| August 2005 Upholstery Fabrics |  | 134 | - |
| April 2005 Upholstery Fabrics |  | 1,000 | 1,944 |
| October 2004 Upholstery Fabrics |  | 64 | 309 |
| Fiscal 2003 Culp Decorative Fabrics |  | 2,412 | 3,587 |
| Fiscal 2001 Culp Decorative Fabrics |  | 5 | 10 |
|  | \$ | 4,054 | 5,850 |

September 2005 Upholstery Fabrics
On September 27, 2005, the company's board of directors approved a strategic alliance with Synthetics Finishing, a division of TSG Incorporated, to provide finishing services to the company for its domestically produced decorative upholstery fabrics and collaborate with the company on research and product development activities. As a result, the company closed its finishing plant in Burlington, NC, thereby reducing the number of associates by approximately 100 people.

During fiscal 2006, total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 1.4$ million of which $\$ 533,000$ related to employee termination benefits, $\$ 419,000$ related to asset movement costs, $\$ 238,000$ related to accelerated depreciation, $\$ 177,000$ related to write-downs of equipment, and \$10,000 related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facility. Of the total charge, $\$ 1.1$ million was recorded in restructuring expense and $\$ 245,000$ was recorded in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | EmployeeTerminationBenefits |  | Lease <br> Termination and Other Exit Costs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| accrual established in fiscal 2006 | \$ | 510 | - | 510 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | 23 | - | 23 |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | (94) | - | (94) |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | \$ | 439 | - | 439 |

As of April 30, 2006, assets classified as held for sale consisted of a building with a value of $\$ 641,000$.

## August 2005 Upholstery Fabrics

In August 2005, the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment designed to reduce the company's U.S. yarn manufacturing operations. The company sold its polypropylene yarn extrusion equipment (with a book value of $\$ 2.3$ million) located in Graham, NC to American Fibers and Yarns Company, the company's supplier for polypropylene yarn, for $\$ 1.1$ million payable in cash. Pursuant to terms of the sale agreement, the company has a long-term supply contract with American Fibers and Yarns Company to continue to provide the company with polypropylene yarn at prices tied to a published index.

The company's board of directors also approved further reductions in the company's yarn operations by closing the company's facility in Shelby, NC and consolidating the yarn operations into the Lincolnton, NC facility. The company is outsourcing the open-end yarns previously produced at the Shelby, NC facility. As a result, the company will have one yarn plant in Lincolnton, NC for producing wrap-spun yarns and a small texturizing yarn operation in Graham, NC. Overall, these actions reduced the number of associates by approximately 100 people.

During fiscal 2006, total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 5.5$ million, of which $\$ 2.6$ million related to write-downs of building and equipment, $\$ 1.2$ million related to accelerated depreciation, \$567,000 related to employee termination benefits, $\$ 565,000$ related to inventory markdowns, $\$ 394,000$ related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facility, $\$ 175,000$ related to asset movement costs, and $\$ 11,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, \$3.4 million was recorded in restructuring expense and $\$ 2.1$ million was recorded in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | Employee Termination Benefits |  | Lease <br> Termination Other Exit Co | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| accrual established in fiscal 2006 | \$ | 570 | 14 | 584 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | (3) | (3) | (6) |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | (440) | (4) | (444) |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | \$ | 127 | 7 | 134 |

As of April 30, 2006, assets classified as held for sale consisted of a building and equipment with a value of $\$ 1.2$ million.

April 2005 Upholstery Fabrics
In April 2005, management and the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment designed to reduce costs, increase asset utilization, and improve profitability. The restructuring plan included consolidation of the company's velvet fabrics manufacturing operations, additional fixed manufacturing cost reductions in the decorative fabrics operation, and significant reductions in selling, general and administrative expenses within the upholstery fabrics segment. Another element of the restructuring plan is a substantial reduction in raw material and finished goods stock keeping units or SKUs, to simplify manufacturing processes, increase productivity and reduce inventories. The company also relocated its velvet production equipment from the manufacturing facility in Burlington, NC, to its other velvet plant in Anderson, SC, resulting in significant reductions of fixed manufacturing costs. The Burlington, NC facility is currently being utilized as an inspection and distribution facility for fabrics imported from offshore sources and for finished goods warehousing of domestically produced upholstery fabrics. The company combined its sales, design, and customer service activities within the upholstery fabrics segment. As a result, on June 30, 2005, the company sold two buildings in Burlington, NC consisting of approximately 140,000 square feet for proceeds of $\$ 2,850,000$. These initiatives significantly reduced the company's selling, general and administrative expenses. Overall, these restructuring actions have reduced the number of associates by 350 people.

During fiscal 2005, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 7.1$ million, of which approximately $\$ 4.3$ million related to write-downs of building and equipment, $\$ 1.9$ million related to employee termination benefits, $\$ 874,000$ related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns, and $\$ 47,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of the total charge, $\$ 6.2$ million was recorded in restructuring expense in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss; $\$ 761,000$ related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns was recorded in cost of sales in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss; and $\$ 113,000$ related to accelerated depreciation was recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

During fiscal 2006, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 8.8$ million, of which approximately $\$ 3.5$ million related to accelerated depreciation, $\$ 2.3$ million related to write-downs of equipment, $\$ 1.5$ million related to inventory markdowns, $\$ 557,000$ related to asset movement costs, $\$ 529,000$ related to employee termination benefits primarily from headcount reductions within the upholstery fabrics segment, and $\$ 435,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 3.7$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, $\$ 2.1$ million was recorded in cost of sales, and $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | Employee Termination Benefits |  | Lease <br> Termination and Other Exit Costs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| accrual established in fiscal 2005 | \$ | 1,897 | 47 | 1,944 |
| paid in fiscal 2005 |  | - | - | - |
| balance, May 1, 2005 |  | 1,897 | 47 | 1,944 |
| additions in fiscal 2006 |  | - | 406 | 406 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | 529 | 29 | 558 |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | $(1,627)$ | (281) | $(1,908)$ |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | \$ | 799 | 201 | 1,000 |

As of April 30, 2006, assets classified as held for sale consisted of equipment with a value of $\$ 1.3$ million. As of May 1, 2005, assets classified as held for sale consisted of equipment with a value of \$198, 000 .

October 2004 Upholstery Fabrics
In October 2004, management and the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan within the upholstery fabrics segment aimed at reducing costs, increasing asset utilization and improving profitability. Due to continued pressure on demand in this segment, management decided to further adjust the company's cost structure and bring U. S. manufacturing capacity in line with current and expected demand. The restructuring plan principally involved consolidation of the company's decorative fabrics weaving operations by closing Culp's facility in Pageland, SC, and consolidating those operations into the Graham, NC facility. Additionally, the company consolidated its yarn operations by integrating the production of the Cherryville, NC plant into the company's Shelby, NC facility. Another element of the restructuring plan was a substantial reduction in certain raw material and finished goods stock keeping units, or SKUs, to reduce manufacturing complexities and lower costs, with the ongoing objective of identifying and eliminating products that are not generating acceptable volumes of margins. Finally, the company made reductions in selling, general, and administrative expenses. Overall, these restructuring actions reduced the number of associates by approximately 250 people.

During fiscal 2005, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 16.3$ million, of which approximately $\$ 6.8$ million related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns, $\$ 5.1$ million of goodwill impairment, which represents all of the remaining goodwill associated with the upholstery fabrics segment, $\$ 2.4$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 1.3$ million related to write-downs of buildings and equipment, and $\$ 722,000$ related to employee termination benefits. Of the total charge, $\$ 4.4$ million was recorded in restructuring expense in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss; and $\$ 6.8$ million related to accelerated depreciation and inventory markdowns was recorded in cost of sales in the 2005 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

During fiscal 2006, the total restructuring and related charges incurred were $\$ 2.4$ million, of which approximately $\$ 1.3$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 1.0$ million related to write-downs of equipment, $\$ 88,000$ related to employee termination benefits to reflect the current estimates of future health care claims, $\$ 52,000$ related to operating costs associated with the closing of the plant facilities, $\$ 3,000$ related to lease termination costs. Of this total charge, $\$ 2.3$ million was recorded in restructuring expense, and $\$ 52,000$ in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | Employee Termination Benefits |  | Lease <br> Termination and Other Exit Costs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| accrual established in fiscal 2005 | \$ | 1,305 | - | 1,305 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2005 |  | (583) | - | (583) |
| paid in fiscal 2005 |  | (413) | - | (413) |
| balance, May 1, 2005 |  | 309 | - | 309 |
| additions in fiscal 2006 |  | - | 3 | 3 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | 88 | - | 88 |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | (333) | (3) | (336) |

balance, April 30, 2006 \$ 64 - 64

As of April 30, 2006, there were no assets classified as held for sale. As of May 1, 2005, assets classified as held for sale consisted of equipment with a value of $\$ 165,000$.

Fiscal 2003 Culp Decorative Fabrics Restructuring
In August 2002, management and the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan within CDF aimed at lowering manufacturing costs, simplifying the dobby fabric upholstery line, increasing asset utilization and enhancing the division's manufacturing competitiveness. The restructuring plan principally involved (1) consolidation of the division's weaving, finishing, yarn making and distribution operations by closing the facility in Chattanooga, TN and integrating these functions into other plants, (2) a significant reduction in the number of stock keeping units, or SKUs offered in the dobby product line and (3) a net reduction in workforce of approximately 300 positions.

During fiscal 2004, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was increased $\$ 178,000$ to reflect current estimates of future health care claims and decreased $\$ 684,000$ to reflect current estimates of remaining lease expenses and other exit costs. Additionally, the company recorded a restructuring charge of $\$ 8,000$ representing a non-cash impairment of equipment.

During fiscal 2005, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was reduced $\$ 214,000$ in employee termination benefits to reflect the current estimates of future health care claims and reduced $\$ 169,000$ in lease termination and other exit costs to reflect current estimates of sub-lease income.

During fiscal 2006, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was decreased by approximately $\$ 241,000$ in lease termination and other exit costs to reflect current estimates of sub-lease income and other exit costs and $\$ 66,000$ to reflect current estimates of employee termination benefits. Additionally, the company recorded a restructuring related charge of $\$ 34,000$ for other operating costs associated with the closed plant facility. This $\$ 34,000$ restructuring related charge was recorded in cost of sales in the 2006 Consolidated Statement of Loss.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | EmployeeTerminationBenefits |  | Lease <br> Termination and Other Exit Costs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balance, April 27, 2003 | \$ | 744 | 6,245 | 6,989 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2004 |  | 178 | (684) | (506) |
| paid in fiscal 2004 |  | (422) | $(1,227)$ | $(1,649)$ |
| balance, May 2, 2004 |  | 500 | 4,334 | 4,834 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2005 |  | (214) | (169) | (383) |
| paid in fiscal 2005 |  | (86) | (778) | (864) |
| balance, May 1, 2005 |  | 200 | 3,387 | 3,587 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | (66) | (241) | (307) |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | (46) | (822) | (868) |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | \$ | 88 | 2,324 | 2,412 |

As of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, there were no assets classified as held for sale.

## Wet Printed Flock Restructuring

In April 2002, management and the company's board of directors approved a plan to exit the wet printed flock upholstery fabric business. The exit plan involved closing a printing facility and flocking operation within the velvet fabrics manufacturing operations, reduction in related selling and administrative expenses and termination of 86 employees.

During fiscal 2004, due to management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was reduced \$101,000 to reflect current estimates of employee termination benefits and future health care claims and reduced $\$ 277,000$ to reflect current estimates of other exit costs. The company also recognized a restructuring credit of $\$ 171,000$ related to the sale of assets classified as held for sale in connection with the restructuring.

During fiscal 2005, assets held for sale consisting of land and a building valued at $\$ 180,000$ were sold, resulting in a restructuring credit of $\$ 54,000$. An additional restructuring credit of $\$ 84,000$ was recognized relating to lease termination and other exit costs.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | Employee Termination Benefits |  | Lease <br> Termination Other Exit Co | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balance, April 27, 2003 | \$ | 96 | 447 | 543 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2004 |  | (101) | (277) | (378) |
| paid in fiscal 2004 |  | 5 | (70) | (65) |
| balance, May 2, 2004 |  | - | 100 | 100 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2005 |  |  | (84) | (84) |
| paid in fiscal 2005 |  | - | (16) | (16) |
| balance, May 1, 2005 | \$ | - |  | - |

As of May 1, 2005, there were no assets classified as held for sale.

## Fiscal 2001 Culp Decorative Fabrics Restructuring

During fiscal 2001, management and the company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan in its upholstery fabric segment which involved (1) the consolidation of certain fabric manufacturing capacity within CDF, (2) closing one of the company's four yarn manufacturing plants, (3) an extensive reduction in selling, general and administrative expenses including the termination of 110 employees and (4) a comprehensive SKU reduction initiative related to finished goods and raw materials in CDF.

During fiscal 2004, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was increased $\$ 33,000$ to reflect current estimates of future health care claims and reduced $\$ 32,000$ to reflect current estimates of other exit costs.

During fiscal 2005, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was reduced $\$ 12,000$ to reflect current estimates of future health care claims.

During fiscal 2006, as a result of management's continual evaluation of the restructuring accrual, the reserve was increased \$109,000 to reflect current estimates of future health care claims. Additionally, the company recorded a restructuring related charge of $\$ 34,000$ for other operating costs associated with the closed plant facility.

The following summarizes the activity in the restructuring accrual (dollars in thousands):

|  | Employee Termination Benefits |  | Lease <br> Termination and Other Exit Costs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balance, April 27, 2003 | \$ | 35 | 176 | 211 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2004 |  | 33 | (32) | 1 |
| paid in fiscal 2004 |  | (34) | (144) | (178) |
| balance, May 2, 2004 |  | 34 | - | 34 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2005 |  | (12) | - | (12) |
| paid in fiscal 2005 |  | (12) | - | (12) |
| balance, May 1, 2005 |  | 10 | - | 10 |
| adjustments in fiscal 2006 |  | 109 | - | 109 |
| paid in fiscal 2006 |  | (114) | - | (114) |
| balance, April 30, 2006 | \$ | 5 | - | 5 |

As of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, there were no assets classified as held for sale.
3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

A summary of accounts receivable follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| customers | \$ | 30,924 | 30,803 |
| allowance for doubtful accounts |  | $(1,049)$ | $(1,142)$ |
| reserve for returns and allowances and discounts |  | (826) | (837) |
|  | \$ | 29,049 | 28,824 |

A summary of the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts follows:

| (dollars in thousands) |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beginning balance | \$ | $(1,142)$ | $(1,442)$ | $(1,558)$ |
| provision for bad debts |  | (5) | 272 | (139) |
| net write-offs |  | 98 | 28 | 255 |
| ending balance | \$ | $(1,049)$ | $(1,142)$ | $(1,442)$ |

A summary of the activity in the allowance for returns and allowances and discounts follows:

| (dollars in thousands) |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beginning balance | \$ | (837) | (903) | (763) |
| provision for returns and allowances discounts |  | $(1,834)$ | $(2,144)$ | $(2,465)$ |
| discounts taken |  | 1,845 | 2,210 | 2,325 |
| ending balance | \$ | (826) | (837) | (903) |

4. INVENTORIES

A summary of inventories follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| raw materials | \$ | 13,561 | 23,204 |
| work-in-process |  | 2, 020 | 3,000 |
| finished goods |  | 21,112 | 24,295 |
|  | \$ | 36,693 | 50,499 |

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property, plant and equipment follows:


The company incurred total capital expenditures of \$6.5 million \$14.4 million , and $\$ 6.7$ million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The non-cash portion of these capital expenditures representing vendor financing totaled \$942,000, $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 331,000$ in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
6. GOODWILL

A summary of the change in the carrying amount of goodwill follows:

| (dollars in thousands) |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beginning balance | \$ | 4,114 | 9,240 | 9,240 |
| impairment charge |  | - | $(5,126)$ |  |
| ending balance | \$ | 4,114 | 4,114 | 9,240 |

7. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

A summary of accounts payable follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| accounts payable - trade | \$ | 18,386 | 19,688 |
| accounts payable - capital expenditures |  | 2,449 | 3,164 |
|  | \$ | 20,835 | 22,852 |

8. ACCRUED EXPENSES

A summary of accrued expenses follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compensation, commissions and related benefits | \$ | 4,757 | 5,483 |
| interest |  | 433 | 448 |
| accrued rebates |  | 705 | 1,444 |
| other |  | 1,950 | 2,181 |
|  | \$ | 7,845 | 9,556 |

9. INCOME TAXES

Total income taxes (benefits) were allocated as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 |  | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| income (loss) from operations | \$ | $(8,081)$ | $(10,942)$ | 3,556 |
| shareholders' equity, related to the tax benefit arising from the exercise of stock options |  | (21) | (4) | (60) |
| shareholders' equity, related to tax effect of cash flow hedge |  | 11 | - | - |
|  | \$ | $(8,091)$ | $(10,946)$ | 3,496 |

Income tax expense (benefit) attributable to income (loss) from operations consists of:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| current |  |  |  |
| federal | \$ | - | - |
| state | - | - | - |
| foreign | 2,066 | 1,080 | 222 |
|  | 2,066 | 1,080 | 222 |
| deferred |  |  |  |
| federal | $(8,742)$ | $(10,852)$ | 3,144 |
| state | (970) | $(1,000)$ | 520 |
| foreign | (455) | (170) | (330) |
|  | $(10,167)$ | $(12,022)$ | 3,334 |
|  | \$ $(8,081)$ | $(10,942)$ | 3,556 |

Income before income taxes related to the company's foreign operations for the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004, was $\$ 6.5$ million, $\$ 5.9$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million, respectively.

Under a tax holiday in the People's Republic of China, the company was granted an exemption from income taxes for two years commencing from the first profit-making year on a calendar year basis and a 50\% reduction in the income tax rates for the following three years. Calendar year 2004 was the first profit-making year. The company is entitled to a $50 \%$ income tax reduction for the calendar years 2006, 2007, and 2008. The applicable income tax rate is 27\%. Had the company not been entitled to the tax holiday, the income tax benefit for fiscal year 2006 and 2005 would have been $\$ 6.9$ million and $\$ 10.5$ million, respectively.

The following schedule summarizes the principal differences between income tax expense (benefit) at the federal income tax rate and the effective income tax rate reflected in the consolidated financial statements:

|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| federal income tax rate | (34.0)\% | (34.0)\% | 34.0\% |
| state income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | (5.1) | (4.8) | 3.2 |
| extraterritorial income | (2.9) | 0.3 | (0.1) |
| adjustment to estimated income tax accruals | (0.0) | (0.0) | (5.6) |
| other | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
|  | (40.7)\% | (38.0)\% | 33.0\% |

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deferred tax assets: |  |  |
| accounts receivable | \$ 642 | 656 |
| inventories | 2,812 | 2,846 |
| goodwill | 5,748 | 6,939 |
| compensation | 1,181 | 1,064 |
| liabilities and reserves | 1,286 | 2,605 |
| alternative minimum tax | 1,320 | 1,320 |
| net operating loss carryforwards | 18,405 | 9,819 |
| gross deferred tax assets valuation allowance | 31,394 | 25,249 |
| total deferred tax assets | 31,394 | 25,249 |
| deferred tax liabilities: property, plant and equipment, net other | $\begin{array}{r} (4,038) \\ (60) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8,070) \\ (39) \end{array}$ |
| total deferred tax liabilities | $(4,098)$ | $(8,109)$ |
|  | \$ 27,296 | 17,140 |

Federal and state net operating loss carryforwards with related tax benefits of $\$ 18.4$ million at April 30, 2006 and principally expire in 16-20 years, fiscal 2022 through fiscal 2026. The company also has an alternative minimum tax credit carryforward of approximately $\$ 1.3$ million for federal income tax purposes that does not expire.

The realization of the company's deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income attributable from U.S. operations. The company assesses the need to establish a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets to the extent the company no longer believes it is more likely than not that the tax assets will be fully utilized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future operations, management believes it is more likely than not that the company will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the existing deferred tax assets. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced.

Income tax payments, net of income tax refunds, were $\$ 1.4$ million in fiscal 2006 and $\$ 1.3$ million in fiscal 2005. Income tax refunds, net of income tax payments, were $\$ 1.3$ million in fiscal 2004.
10. LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of long-term debt follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { April 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 1, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unsecured term notes | \$ | 42,440 | 49,975 |
| real estate loan |  | 4,242 | - |
| canadian government loans |  | 1,040 | 575 |
| current maturities |  | $\begin{aligned} & 47,722 \\ & (8,060) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50,550 \\ & (8,110) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ | 39,662 | 42,440 |

Unsecured Term Notes
The company's unsecured term notes have a fixed interest rate of $7.76 \%$ (payable semi-annually in March and September) and are payable over an average remaining term of three years beginning March 2007 through March 2010. The principal payments are required to be paid in annual installments over the next four years as follows: 2007 - \$7.5 million; 2008 - \$19.9 million; 2009 - $\$ 7.5$ million; and 2010 - $\$ 7.5$ million. the Company made its first annual principal payment of $\$ 7.5$ million on March 15, 2006.

Real Estate Loan
In October 2005, the company entered into an agreement with its bank to provide for a term loan in the amount of $\$ 4.3$ million secured by a lien on the company's headquarters office located in High Point, NC. This term loan bears interest at the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus an adjustable margin based on the company's debt/EBITDA ratio, as defined in the agreement and is payable in varying monthly installments through September 2010, with a final payment of $\$ 3.3$ million in October, 2010.

Revolving Credit Agreement
In August of 2005, the company amended its credit agreement with its bank to provide for a revolving loan commitment of $\$ 8.0$ million, including letters of credit up to $\$ 5.5$ million. Borrowings under the credit facility bears interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate plus an adjustable margin based on the company's debt/EBITDA ratio, as defined in the agreement. As of April 30, 2006, there were $\$ 4.0$ million in outstanding letters of credit and no borrowings outstanding under the agreement.

On December 7, 2005, the company entered into a Seventh Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement required the company to maintain collected deposit balances of at least $\$ 3.0$ million after March 15, 2006. Additionally, this amendment reduced the minimum EBITDA covenant for the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006.

On March 8, 2006, the company entered into an Eighth Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement raised the company's capital expenditures limit to $\$ 6.5$ million for the year ending April 30, 2006.

On July 20, 2006, the company entered into a Ninth Amendment to this credit agreement. This agreement extended the expiration date of the agreement from August 31, 2006 to August 31, 2007, limits annual capital expenditures to $\$ 2.5$ million for fiscal 2007, and requires the company to maintain collected deposit balances of at least $\$ 2$ million. The amended agreement also requires the company to maintain certain other financial covenants as defined in the agreement.

Canadian Government Loans
In November 2005, the company entered into an agreement with the Canadian government to provide for a term loan in the amount of $\$ 680,000$. The proceeds are to partially finance capital expenditures at the company's Rayonese facility located in Quebec, Canada. This loan is non-interest bearing and is payable in 48 equal monthly installments commencing December 1, 2009. In addition to the term loan entered into in November 2005, the company has an existing non-interest bearing term loan with the Canadian government which was paid in May 2006. At April 30, 2006, the balance of the term loan entered into in November 2005 and the existing term loan were \$716,000 and \$324,000, respectively.

The company's loan agreements require, among other things, that the company maintain compliance with certain financial ratios. At April 30, 2006, the company was in compliance with these financial covenants.

The principal payment requirements of long-term debt during the next five fiscal years are: 2007-\$8.1 million; 2008-\$20.0 million; 2009-\$7.8 million; 2010 - $\$ 7.8$ million; 2011 - $\$ 3.6$ million; and thereafter - \$477,000.

Interest paid during 2006, 2005 and 2004 totaled $\$ 4.1$ million, $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 5.9$ million, respectively.

## 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The company leases certain office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities and equipment, primarily computers and vehicles, under noncancellable operating leases. Lease terms related to real estate range from one to three years with renewal options for additional periods ranging from two to ten years. The leases generally require the company to pay real estate taxes, maintenance, insurance and other expenses. Rental expense for operating leases was $\$ 3.6$ million in fiscal 2006; $\$ 5.0$ million in fiscal 2005; and $\$ 5.0$ million in fiscal 2004. Future minimum rental commitments for noncancellable operating leases are $\$ 3.4$ million in fiscal 2007; $\$ 2.2$ million in fiscal 2008; $\$ 873,000$ in fiscal 2009; $\$ 150,000$ in fiscal 2010; and $\$ 40,000$ in fiscal 2011. Management expects that in the normal course of business, these leases will be renewed or replaced by other operating leases.

The company is involved in legal proceedings and claims which have arisen in the ordinary course of its business. These actions, when ultimately concluded and settled, will not, in the opinion of management, have a material adverse effect upon the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the company.

The company has a fixed stock option plan (1993 Stock Option Plan) under which options to purchase common stock may be granted to officers, directors and key employees. At April 30, 2006, 391,500 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under the plan. Of this total, none remain available for grant. Options are generally exercisable from one to five years after the date of grant and generally expire five to ten years after the date of grant.

No compensation cost has been recognized for this stock option plan as options were granted at an option price not less than fair market value at the date of grant.

A summary of the status of this plan as of April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005, and May 2, 2004 and changes during the years ended on those dates is presented below:


During September 1997, the company's shareholders approved the 1997 option plan which provides for the one-time grant to certain officers and senior managers of options to purchase 106,000 shares of the company's common stock at $\$ 1.00$ per share. Options under the plan are generally exercisable on January 1, 2006. As of April 30, 2006, the 71,000 options outstanding under the plan have exercise prices of $\$ 1.00$ and a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 0.7 years. There were no options exercised during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Compensation expense recorded under the plan was $\$ 139,000$ for fiscal 2006 and $\$ 210,000$ for fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively.

During September 2002, the company's shareholders approved the 2002 option plan under which options to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock may be granted to officers, directors and key employees. Of this total, 467,750 remain available for grant. Options are generally exercisable from one to four years after the date of grant and generally expire five to ten years after the date of grant. No compensation cost has been recognized for this stock option plan as options are granted under the plan at an option price not less than the fair market value at the date of grant.

A summary of the status of this plan as of April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005, and May 2, 2004 and changes during the years ended on those dates is presented below:


The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively: dividend yield of $0 \%$, $0 \%$, and $0 \%$; risk-free interest rates of $4.4 \%, 4.2 \%$, and $1.9 \%$; expected volatility of $74 \%, 77 \%$, and $80 \%$, and expected life of 8.5 years.
13. DERIVATIVES

The company applies the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 133, as amended by SFAS No. 137, SFAS No. 138 and SFAS No. 149, requires the company to recognize all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. These statements also establish new accounting rules for hedging instruments, which depend on the nature of the hedge relationship. A fair value hedge requires that the effective portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative instrument be offset against the change in the fair value of the underlying asset, liability, or firm commitment being hedged through earnings. A cash flow hedge requires that the effective portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative instrument be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI"), a component of Shareholders' Equity, and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

In connection with the company's real estate loan with its bank, the company was required to have an agreement to hedge the interest rate risk exposure on the real estate loan. The company entered into a $\$ 2,170,000$ notional principal interest rate swap, which represents $50 \%$ of the principal amount of the real estate loan, that effectively converted the floating rate LIBOR based payments to fixed payments at $4.99 \%$, plus the spread calculated under the real estate loan agreement. This agreement expires in October 2010.

The company accounts for the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge whereby the fair value of this contract is reflected in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets with the offset recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement at April 30, 2006 was $\$ 29,000$ as determined by quoted market prices.
14. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share uses the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period plus the dilutive effect of stock options calculated using the treasury stock method. Weighted average shares used in the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share are as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weighted-average common |  |  |  |
| shares outstanding, basic | 11,567 | 11,549 | 11,525 |
| effect of dilutive stock options | - | - | 252 |
| weighted-average common |  |  |  |
| shares outstanding, diluted | 11,567 | 11,549 | 11,777 |

Options to purchase $504,938,495,969$ and 348,337 shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, because the exercise price of the options was greater than the average market price of the common shares. Options to purchase 50,385 and 143,970 shares were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share for fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively, because the company incurred a net loss for these fiscal years.
15. BENEFIT PLANS

The company has a defined contribution plan which covers substantially all employees and provides for participant contributions on a pre-tax basis and discretionary matching contributions by the company, which are determined annually. Company contributions to the plan were $\$ 1.0$ million in fiscal 2006, \$1.5 million in fiscal 2005; and \$1.6 million in fiscal 2004.

In addition to the defined contribution plan, the company implemented a nonqualified deferred compensation plan covering officers and certain other associates in fiscal 2003. The plan provides for participant deferrals on a pre-tax basis and non-elective contributions made by the company. Company contributions to the plan were $\$ 72,000$ for fiscal 2006 and $\$ 62,000$ for fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, respectively. The company's nonqualified plan liability of $\$ 650,000$ and $\$ 491,000$ at April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, respectively, is included in accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
16. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The company's operations are classified into two business segments: mattress fabrics and upholstery fabrics. The mattress fabrics segment manufactures and sells fabrics to bedding manufacturers. The upholstery fabrics segment manufactures and sells fabrics primarily to residential and commercial (contract) furniture manufacturers.

International sales, of which $89 \%$, $97 \%$ and $98 \%$ were denominated in U. S. dollars in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, accounted for 18\%, 11\% and $11 \%$ of net sales in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are summarized by geographic area as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 |  | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| north america (excluding USA) | \$ | 18,944 | 22,503 | 26,740 |
| far east and asia |  | 28,104 | 8,690 | 6,954 |
| all other areas |  | 501 | 1,056 | 1,557 |
|  | \$ | 47,549 | 32,249 | 35,251 |

The company evaluates the operating performance of its segments based upon income (loss) from operations before restructuring and related charges or credits, goodwill impairment, and certain unallocated corporate expenses. Unallocated corporate expenses represent primarily compensation and benefits of certain executive officers and all costs related to being a public company. Segment assets include assets used in the operation of each segment and consist of accounts receivable, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment.

Sales and gross profit for the company's operating segments are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| net sales: upholstery fabrics mattress fabrics | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 167,413 \\ 93,688 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181,066 \\ & 105,432 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211,794 \\ & 106,322 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ 261,101 | 286,498 | 318,116 |
| gross profit: upholstery fabrics mattress fabrics | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ \quad 14,909 \\ & 13,579 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,899 \\ & 16,819 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,946 \\ & 23,376 \end{aligned}$ |
| total segment gross profit | 28,488 | 33,718 | 58,322 |
| restructuring related charges | $(4,620)(1)$ | $(7,561)(4)$ | - |
|  | \$ 23,868 | 26,157 | 58,322 |
| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| ```selling, general, and administrative expenses: upholstery fabrics mattress fabrics unallocated corporate``` | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,863 \\ 6,724 \\ 3,345 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,334 \\ 7,430 \\ 4,480 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,110 \\ 8,390 \\ 4,519 \end{array}$ |
| total segment selling, general, and administrative expenses | 25,932 | 35,244 | 41,019 |
| restructuring related charges | 3,022(2) | 113(5) | - |
|  | \$ 28,954 | 35,357 | 41,019 |
| income (loss) from operations: upholstery fabrics mattress fabrics | $\begin{gathered} \$ \quad(954) \\ 6,855 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (6,435) \\ 9,389 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,836 \\ 14,986 \end{array}$ |
| total income from operations | 5,901 | 2,954 | 21,822 |
| unallocated corporate expenses goodwill impairment restructuring and related charges | $\begin{gathered} (3,345) \\ (17,915)(3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (4,480) \\ (5,126)(6) \\ (18,046)(7) \end{gathered}$ | $(4,519)$ <br> 1,047 |
|  | \$ (15, 359 ) | $(24,698)$ | 18,350 |

(1) The $\$ 4.6$ million represents restructuring related charges of $\$ 2.0$ million for inventory markdowns, $\$ 1.9$ million for accelerated depreciation, and $\$ 665,000$ for operating costs associated with the closing of or closed plant facilities. These items primarily relate to the upholstery fabrics segment.
(2) The $\$ 3.0$ million represents accelerated depreciation. This charge primarily relates to the upholstery fabrics segment.
(3) The $\$ 17.9$ million represents restructuring and related charges of $\$ 6.0$ million for write-downs of buildings and equipment, $\$ 5.0$ million for accelerated depreciation, $\$ 2.2$ million for asset movement costs, $\$ 2.0$ million for inventory markdowns, $\$ 1.7$ million for employee termination benefits, $\$ 665,000$ for operating costs associated with the closing of or closed plant facilities, and $\$ 316,000$ for lease termination and other exit costs. These items primarily relate to the upholstery fabrics segment.
(4) The $\$ 7.6$ million represents restructuring and related charges of $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory markdowns. These items primarily relate to the upholstery fabrics segment.
(5) The $\$ 113,000$ represents accelerated depreciation. This charge primarily relates to the upholstery fabrics segment.
(6) The $\$ 5.1$ million represents a goodwill impairment charge related to CDF.
(7) The $\$ 18.0$ million represents $\$ 6.0$ million for accelerated depreciation, $\$ 5.7$ million for write-downs of buildings and equipment, $\$ 2.5$ million related to asset movement costs, $\$ 2.2$ million related to termination benefits, and $\$ 1.6$ million for inventory markdowns. These items primarily relate to the upholstery fabrics segment.

One customer within the upholstery fabrics segment represented approximately $13 \%, 15 \%$ and $13 \%$ of consolidated net sales for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. No other customer accounted for $10 \%$ or more of consolidated net sales during those years.

Balance sheet information for the company's operating segments follow:

| (dollars in thousands) |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```segment assets mattress fabrics current assets property, plant, and equipment``` | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,179 \\ & 25,357(8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,951 \\ & 26,658(8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,639 \\ & 23,126(8) \end{aligned}$ |
| total mattress fabrics assets |  | 46,536 | 51,609 | 47,765 |
| upholstery fabrics current assets property, plant, and equipment | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,563 \\ & 19,229(9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,372 \\ & 39,273(9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55,125 \\ & 54,644(9) \end{aligned}$ |
| total upholstery fabrics assets | \$ | 63,792 | 93,645 | 109,769 |
| total segment assets |  | 110,328 | 145,254 | 157,534 |
| non-segment assets |  |  |  |  |
| cash and cash equivalents |  | 9,714 | 5,107 | 14,568 |
| deferred income taxes |  | 27,296 | 17,140 | 9,256 |
| other current assets |  | 1,287 | 2,691 | 1,722 |
| property, plant, and equipment |  | 53 | 101 | - |
| assets held for sale |  | 3,111 | - | - |
| goodwill |  | 4,114 | 4,114 | 9,240 |
| other assets |  | 1,564 | 1,716 | 1,496 |
| total assets | \$ | 157,467 | 176,123 | 193,816 |


| mattress Fabrics upholstery Fabrics unallocated corporate | \$ | 3,659 2,811 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,321 \\ & 1,895 \\ & 6,144(10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 913 \\ 5,834 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 6,470 | 14,360 | 6,747 |
| depreciation expense |  |  |  |  |
| mattress fabrics | \$ | 3,662 | 3,635 | 3,753 |
| upholstery fabrics |  | 5,740 | 9,227 | 9,889 |
| total segment depreciation expense |  | 9,402 | 12,862 | 13,642 |
| accelerated depreciation upholstery fabrics |  | 4,960 | 6,022 | - |
|  | \$ | 14,362 | 18,884 | 13,642 |

(8) Included in property, plant, and equipment are assets located in the U.S. totaling $\$ 12.9$ million, $\$ 12.2$ million, and $\$ 9.8$ million, for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.
(9) Included in property, plant, and equipment are assets located in the U.S. totaling $\$ 13.8$ million, $\$ 36.2$ million, and $\$ 51.5$ million for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Included in this U.S. property, plant, and equipment are various other corporate allocations totaling $\$ 4.1$ million and $\$ 4.2$ million at April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, respectively.
(10) Unallocated corporate expenditures for fiscal 2005 primarily represent capital spending for the new corporate office building.

A director of the company is also an officer and director of a major customer of the company. The amount of net sales to this customer was approximately $\$ 33.3$ million in fiscal 2006, $\$ 42.3$ million in fiscal 2005; and $\$ 41.8$ million in fiscal 2004. The amount due from this customer at April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005 was approximately $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million, respectively.

Rents paid to entities owned by certain shareholders and officers of the company and their immediate families were approximately $\$ 158,000$ in fiscal 2006, \$622,000 in fiscal 2005, and \$682,000 in fiscal 2004. Effective May 2, 2005, the company modified a lease agreement with a related party to reduce their monthly base rent from $\$ 45,375$ to $\$ 15,000$ and extend the expiration date from February 2006 to April 2007.
18. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) is the total of net income (loss) and other changes in equity, except those resulting from investments by shareholders and distributions to shareholders not reflected in net income (loss).

A summary of comprehensive income (loss) follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| net income (loss) | \$ (11,796) | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 |
| gain on cash flow hedge, net of taxes | 18 | - | - |
|  | \$ (11,778) | $(17,852)$ | 7,220 |

19. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs, and amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4," which clarifies the types of costs that should be expensed rather than capitalized as inventory. This statement also clarifies the circumstances under which fixed overhead costs associated with operating facilities involved in inventory processing should be capitalized. The provisions of SFAS No. 151 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and the company will adopt this standard in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The company does not expect there to be any material effect on its consolidated financial statements upon adoption of the new standard.

SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," issued in December 2004, is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related implementation guidance. The Statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The provisions of SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and the company will adopt this standard in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The company does not expect there to be any material effect on its consolidated financial statements upon adoption of this new standard.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No.47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations," (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of an entity. FIN 47 was effective for the company on April 30, 2006. The adoption of FIN 47 did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA

(1) Long-term debt includes long-term and current maturities of long-term debt.
(2) Capital employed includes long-term debt and shareholders' equity
(3) Operating working capital for this calculation is accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

During the three years ended April 30, 2006, there were no changes of accountants and/or disagreements on any matters of accounting principles or practices or financial statement disclosures.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures
As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
In accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act and SEC rules thereunder, management has conducted an assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006. Management's report regarding that assessment appears on page 41 of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Changes in Internal Controls
There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the Company's fourth quarter ended April 30, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information with respect to executive officers and directors of the company is included in the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the caption "Nominees, Directors and Executive Officers," which information is herein incorporated by reference.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information with respect to executive compensation is included in the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the caption "Executive Compensation," which information is herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL
OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER
MATTERS
Information with respect to the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is included in the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14 A of the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the caption "Voting Securities," which information is herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
Information with respect to certain relationships and related transactions is included in the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14 A of the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the subcaption "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions," which information is herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES
Information with respect to accountants fees and services is included in the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the caption "Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm," which information is herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
a) DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Culp, Inc. and its subsidiaries are filed as part of this report.

|  | Page of Annual |
| :--- | :---: |
| Item | Report on |
| $-\ldots--$ | Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ |

Consolidated Balance Sheets - April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005. ..... 44
Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) - for the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004. ..... 45
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - for the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004. ..... 46
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - for the years ended April 30, 2006, May 1, 2005 and May 2, 2004 ..... 47
Notes to Consolidated Financial statements ..... 48
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. ..... 42
2. Financial Statement Schedules

All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.
3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are attached at the end of this report, or incorporated by reference herein. Management contracts, compensatory plans, and arrangements are marked with an asterisk (*).

3(i) Articles of Incorporation of the company, as amended, were filed as Exhibit 3(i) to the company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 28, 2002, filed September 11, 2002, and are incorporated herein by reference.

3(ii) Restated and Amended Bylaws of the company, as amended June 12, 2001, were filed as Exhibit 3(ii) to the company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 29, 2001, filed September 12, 2001, and are incorporated herein by reference.

10(b) Management Incentive Plan of the company, dated August 1986 and amended July 1989, filed as Exhibit 10(o) to the company's Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended May 3, 1992, filed on August 4, 1992, and is incorporated herein by reference. (*)

10(f) 1993 Stock Option Plan was filed as Exhibit 10(o) to the company's Form 10-K for the year ended May 2, 1993, filed on July 29, 1993, and is incorporated herein by reference. (*)

10(h) Form of Note Purchase Agreement (providing for the issuance by Culp, Inc. of its $\$ 20$ million $6.76 \%$ Series A Senior Notes due 3/15/08 and its $\$ 55$ million $6.76 \%$ Series B Senior Notes due 3/15/10), each dated March 4, 1998, between Culp, Inc. and each of the following:

1. Connecticut General Life Insurance Company;
2. The Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York;

United of Omaha Life Insurance Company;
Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company;
5. The Prudential Insurance Company of America;
6. Allstate Life Insurance Company;
7. Life Insurance Company of North America; and
8. CIGNA Property and Casualty Insurance Company

This agreement was filed as Exhibit $10(l l)$ to the company's Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended May 3, 1998, filed on July 31, 1998, and is incorporated herein by reference.

10(i) First Amendment, dated January 31, 2002 to Note Purchase Agreement (providing for the issuance by Culp, Inc. of its $\$ 20$ million 6.76\% Series A Senior Notes due $3 / 15 / 08$ and its $\$ 55$ million 6.76\% Series B Senior Notes due 3/15/10), each dated March 4, 1998, between Culp, Inc. and each of the following:

1. Connecticut General Life Insurance Company;

Life Insurance Company of North America;
ACE Property and Casualty;
J. Romeo \& Co.;

United of Omaha Life Insurance Company;
Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company;
The Prudential Insurance of America; and
. Allstate Life Insurance Company
This amendment was filed as Exhibit $10(\mathrm{a})$ to the company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 27, 2002, and is incorporated herein by reference.

10(j) Rights Agreement, dated as of October 8, 1999, between Culp, Inc. and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent, including the form of Articles of Amendment with respect to the Series $A$ Participating Preferred Stock included as Exhibit A to the Rights Agreement, the forms of Rights Certificate included as Exhibit B to the Rights Agreement, and the form of Summary of Rights included as Exhibit $C$ to the Rights Agreement. The Rights Agreement was filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the company's Form 8-K dated October 12, 1999, and is incorporated herein by reference.

| 10(k) | Form of Change of Control and Noncompetition Agreement, each dated December 11, 2001, by and between the company and each of Robert G. Culp, III, Franklin N. Saxon, Kenneth M. Ludwig, was filed as Exhibit 10hh to the company's Form 10-K for the year ended April 28, 2002, filed on July 26, 2002, and is incorporated herein by reference. (*) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10(1) | 2002 Stock Option Plan was filed as Exhibit 10(a) to the company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 26, 2003, filed on March 12, 2003, and is incorporated herein by reference.(*) |
| 10(m) | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of August 23, 2002 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank, was filed as Exhibit $10(a)$ to the company's Form10-Q for the quarter ended July 28, 2002, filed September 11, 2002, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10 ( n ) | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 17, 2003 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank, was filed as exhibit 10(p) to the company's form 10-K for the year ended April 27, 2003, filed on July 25, 2003, and is incorporated here by reference. |
| 10(0) | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 3, 2003 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank, was filed as exhibit 10(q) to the company's form 10-K for the year ended April 27, 2003, filed on July 25, 2003, and is incorporated here by reference. |
| 10(p) | Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of August 23, 2004 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank., was filed as Exhibit 10 to the Current Report on Form $8-\mathrm{K}$ dated August 26, 2004, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10(q) | Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 7, 2004 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank, was filed as Exhibit 10(b) to the company's form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 2004, filed on December 9, 2004, and is incorporated here by reference. |
| 10(r) | Fifth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 18, 2005 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank., was filed as Exhibit 99(c) to Current Report on form 8-K dated February 18, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10(s) | Severance Agreement and Waiver of Claims between Rodney A. Smith and Culp, Inc. was filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on form 8-K dated May 6, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10(t) | Sixth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of August 30, 2005 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank., was filed as Exhibit 99(c) to Current Report on form $8-\mathrm{K}$ dated August 30, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10 (u) | Real Estate Loan Commitment letter between Culp, Inc. and Wachovia, National Association, was filed as Exhibit 99(d) to Current Report on form $8-\mathrm{K}$ dated August 30, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10(v) | Seventh Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 7, 2005 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Agent and as Bank., was filed as Exhibit 10(c) to the company's form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 30, 2005, filed December 9, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. |


| 10(w) | Eighth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | as of January 29, 2006 among Culp, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, |
|  | National Association, as Agent and as Bank., was filed as Exhibit |
|  | 10(a) to the company's form 10-Q for the quarter ended January |
|  | 29, 2006, filed March 10, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference. |
| 21 | List of subsidiaries of the company |
| 23(a) | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in |
|  | connection with the registration statements of Culp, Inc. on Form |
|  | S-8 (File Nos. 33-13310, 33-37027, 33-80206, 33-62843, 333-27519, |
|  | 333-59512, 333-59514 and 333-101850), dated March 20, 1987, |
|  | September 18, 1990, June 13, 1994, September 22, 1995, May 21, |
|  | 1997, April 25, 2001, April 25, 2001 and December 12, 2002. |
| 24(a) | Power of Attorney of Jean L.P. Brunel, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 24(b) | Power of Attorney of Howard L. Dunn, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 24(c) | Power of Attorney of Patrick B. Flavin, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 24(d) | Power of Attorney of Kenneth R. Larson, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 24(e) | Power of Attorney of Kenneth W. McAllister, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 24(f) | Power of Attorney of Patrick H. Norton, dated July 10, 2006 |
| 31(a) | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31(b) | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 (a) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 (b) | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 |

b) Exhibits:

The exhibits to this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ are filed at the end of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ immediately preceded by an index. A list of the exhibits begins on page 77 under the subheading "Exhibits Index."
c) Financial Statement Schedules:

```
See Item 15(a) (2)
```

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, CULP, INC. has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 26th day of July 2006.

## CULP, INC.

By: /s/ Robert G. Culp, III
Robert G. Culp, III
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Franklin N. Saxon
Franklin N. Saxon President and Chief Operating Officer (principal financial officer)

By: /s/ Kenneth R. Bowling
Kenneth R. Bowling
Vice President Finance, Treasurer (principal accounting officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 26th day of July 2006.
/s/ Robert G. Culp, III
------------------
(Chairman of the Board of Directors)
/s/ Franklin N. Saxon
Franklin N. Saxon (Director)
/s/ Howard L. Dunn, Jr.*
------------------(Director)
$\begin{aligned} & \text { /s/ Patrick B. Flavin* } \\ & \text { Patrick B. Flavin }\end{aligned}$

(Director)
/s/ Kenneth W. McAllister*
Kenneth W. McAllister (Director)
/s/ Patrick H. Norton *
----------------
Patrick H. Norton (Director)
/s/ Jean L.P.. Brunel *
Jean L.P. Brunel
(Director)
H. Bruce English
(Director)
/s/ Kenneth R. Larson *
------------------
(Director)

* By Franklin N. Saxon, Attorney-in-Fact, pursuant to Powers of Attorney filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.


```
LIST OF SUBSIDIARIES OF CULP, INC.
```


## Name of Subsidiary

Jurisdiction of Incorporation

Culp Fabrics (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
Culp Fabrics (Shanghai) International Trading Co., Ltd.
Culp International Holdings Ltd.
Rayonese Textile Inc.
3096726 Canada Inc.

People's Republic of China
People's Republic of China Cayman Islands
Canada
Canada

## Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Culp, Inc.:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (numbers 333-59512, 333-59514, 333-27519, 333-101805, 333-13310, 333-37027, 333-80206, and $333-62843$ ) on Form S-8 of Culp, Inc. of our reports dated July 20, 2006, with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of Culp, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2006 and May 1, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2006, and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006 and the effectivness of internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2006, which reports appear in the April 30, 2006, annual report on Form 10-K of Culp, Inc.
/s/ KPMG LLP

Greensboro, North Carolina
July 20, 2006

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.

/s/ Jean L.P. Brunel

Jean L.P. Brunel

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.
/s/ Howard L. Dunn
Howard L. Dunn

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.

Patrick B. Flavin

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.
/s/ Kenneth W. McAllister
Kenneth W. McAllister

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the undersigned director of CULP, INC., a North Carolina corporation, hereby constitutes and appoints FRANKLIN N. SAXON the true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact to sign for the undersigned as a director of the Corporation the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C., under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to sign any amendment or amendments to such Annual Report, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts taken by such agent and attorney-in-fact, as herein authorized.
/s/ Patrick H. Norton
Patrick H. Norton

Date: July 10, 2006
------------

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Robert G. Culp, III, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Culp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ Robert G. Culp, III

## Robert G. Culp, III

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Franklin N. Saxon, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Culp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ Franklin N. Saxon
Franklin N. Saxon
President and Chief Operating Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

## ertification Pursuant to

18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
as Adopted Pursuant to
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Culp, Inc. (the "Company") on Form $10-K$ for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert G. Culp, III, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 , as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.
/s/ Robert G. Culp, III
Robert G. Culp, III
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Culp, Inc. and will be retained by Culp, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Certification Pursuant to
18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
as Adopted Pursuant to
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Culp, Inc. (the "Company") on Form $10-K$ for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Franklin N. Saxon, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

## /s/ Franklin N. Saxon

Franklin N. Saxon
President and
Chief Operating Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Culp, Inc. and will be retained by Culp, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

